



Analysis of the Legal Framework for e-Governance to Implement ICT Applications

Dr. Ashima Jain

Assistant Professor, School of Law, Manipal University Jaipur, Dehmi Kalan, Jaipur, Rajasthan, India, 303007

Abstract

ICT has brought revolutionary changes to the daily lives of people, businesses, and governments through its global interactivity. ICT has revolutionized both public and private sector governance with the development of Web 1.0 to Web 5.0 with the evolution of artificial intelligence, chatbots and cloud computing, as well as the Internet of Things (IoT). E-governance is being embraced by governments worldwide, particularly to handle administrative issues more readily and with greater transparency and citizen involvement. The digitalisation of public services is significant to a range of Sustainable Development Goals, in particular, SDG 16 and SDG 9, as digital innovation is introduced into the organisation of government work. E-governance creates inclusive, accountable institutions and empowers citizens by both making initiatives more participatory and delivering services more citizen-oriented. The article examines the definition, extent, and issues surrounding e-governance, and argues that it is essential for enhancing socio-economic growth and building trust in institutions. It also includes strategic recommendations to improve e-governance performance by integrating ICT. E-governance promotes the principle of sustainable and inclusive development by focusing technological progress on the SDGs' objectives, making it an essential contributor to sustainable, inclusive, and resilient development.

Keywords: E-Governance, Sustainable Development Goals, Information and Communication Technology (ICT), Public Service Delivery, Digital Governance

Introduction

The digitisation of services has reshaped governments, enabling them to interact with citizens and conduct administrative functions to a great extent. In the contemporary era, especially after the COVID-19 pandemic, digital rule has emerged as a new criterion for countries worldwide. (Kamale & Asaju, 2025).

The development of the Internet and the spread of innovative digital equipment have enabled governments to adopt information and communication technology (ICT) to deliver public services. (Jordanoski, 2025).

Governments are rapidly focusing on a one-centric model of governance that keeps citizens at the heart of policy design, implementation, and evaluation. This model emphasises listening to people's voices, ensuring that the regime is not only transparent and accountable but also participatory and responsive to diverse social needs.

For developing and underdeveloped world countries, digitisation provides an important opportunity to remove long-standing administrative obstacles. E-governance models are often adapted to meet the unique needs of citizens and stakeholders in various fields. For example, in some developed countries, the digital regime integrates services at both local and national levels through integrated digital portals, providing users with a spontaneous experience (Lubua, 2017).

The concept of e-governance may be defined in three main dimensions:

- Governance -Ensuring openness, provision of a voice to the citizens and promoting democracy in the system.
- Public Services - Maintaining that services are provided effectively, virtually economically and in a more responsive manner, hence making it more accessible and accountable.
- Management-Dissolving management by making the running of the government concise



due to the effective use of data, simplified procedures and smooth, swift communications.

Digital governance has a complex language that signifies the nature of the field. The most important ones are:

- E-administration- Resorts to the contemporary updating of governmental operation by means of ICT, i.e. the establishment of centralised databases, and the paperization of governmental archives.
- E-services- This refers to the provision of basic government services, such as the issuance of passports, driving licenses, or official documents, via online platforms to help citizens feel closer to the government.
- E-governance - Denotes the greater exploitation of digital technology to improve the efficiency of administration, to guarantee improved service delivery, as well as effective implementation of policies.
- E-democracy- This is aimed at enhancing a democratic approach so as to boost citizen involvement in governance using digital solutions and, as such, enhance civic engagement and an inclusive approach.

ICT usage in governance supports effective data management, transparent service transactions, easier access to information, and stronger citizen relationships. The more these systems become interwoven, the greater the redefinition of expectations regarding the delivery of public services by citizens and businesses (Reji et al., 2025).

In terms of administrative reforms, various national commissions and expert panels have underlined the importance of promoting a digital regime. The recommendations include expanding ICT infrastructure, ensuring the system is different, and focusing on results that enhance transparency, reduce costs, and limit corruption. These initiatives are not only about modernising systems, but they also represent a fundamental change in how the state relates to its citizens (Ndiyun & Mukonza, 2025).

E-governance and e-government are similar concepts, but they differ slightly. Although the

phrase e-government has commonly been used to describe how technology can enhance the delivery and accessibility of government services, e-governance has a broader vision in which technology is used to create a more empowered citizenry, promote participatory decision-making, and change administrative culture toward more accountable, service-oriented processes.

The last, e-governance, is an effective instrument for imagining the future of public administration. It is not about the digitisation of the services but about a more transparent, accountable and citizen-focused governance model. An appropriate quote that captures the philosophy behind this transformation is: “*Citizens First*” (Udeaja et al., 2025).

Prerequisite Factors for Digital Transformation in Public Service Delivery

In itself, digital technology cannot bring a complete change in governance. For digital initiatives to succeed, they must be part of a broad, well-supported and coordinated improvement process. Changes towards digital governance are not a one-time attempt, but a sustained journey that requires continuous commitment and a strong foundation of supportive elements. It is also important to foster a culture of change. The willingness of society to adopt and adapt to digital tools greatly contributes to the achievement of governance reforms. A favourable digital culture will be evident when both authorities and citizens are receptive to innovation and have confidence in digital platforms.

A well-structured strategy must be clarified to ensure effectiveness during the transition. Such a plan is meant to be an orderly guide for moving away from traditional administrative methods toward digital systems. A digital strategy can help to foresee the difficulties, use resources judiciously, and unite all stakeholders. These are the ideas for addressing obstacles, involving societies, and ensuring the involvement of institutions and service consumers alike. The strategy should be broad and humanistic, with a focus on enhancing the user experience and accessibility to services (Mukonavanhu, 2025).

Lastly, without an effective ICT infrastructure, digital transformation cannot be achieved in a



country. The government must invest in infrastructure to adopt new technologies in the different sectors of government. Developed and underdeveloped countries cannot easily make progress, as it is costly in terms of finances, since developed countries have reached where they are due to large budgetary allocations for low-cost, scalable technologies. These are also limiting factors that prevent them from updating ageing systems, thereby affecting administrative efficiency (Hossen et al., 2024).

Issues in governance

The development of Information and Communication Technology (ICT) has contributed significantly to strengthening democratic values by promoting accountability, transparency, and governance. Defeat the difference between government institutions and citizens, promote maximum confidence between the public, the private sector and civil society. However, while the ICT capacity in governance is significant, many pressure points need to be addressed to ensure its successful implementation (Lubis et al., 2025).

Along with the capacity issues, the further development of the existing e-governance endeavours should be reconsidered seriously. Numerous costly digital projects fail to deliver tangible results, especially in developed countries, and do not favour the population. This lack of project clarity and the undefined connection between technological capability and organisational requirements are considered reasons for these failures. This lack of alignment undermines the success of ICT-based initiatives (Matlala, 2025).

E-governance should make concerted efforts to close the digital divide. It is a very common occurrence for younger family members to use digital services regularly, whereas older generations are sceptical and, understandably, in many cases, fearful of online threats. The inequity indicates broader societal disparities that must be addressed by adapting to the inclusion of digital literacy.

Legal Framework for e-Governance in India

India has developed a system and laws to support and regulate ICT in e-governance. The Digital

Personal Data Protection Act, 2023, is significant because it will safeguard citizens' personal data. It ensures government agencies and other parties treat data lawfully, consensually, and with the responsibility essential to earning people's trust in e-Governance platforms. It sets out rules and regulations for how individuals' personal data must be gathered, used, and stored. It also includes harsh penalties for violations of data privacy.

The Right to Information Act, 2005, improves transparency and accountability. Governance can be made more accessible through ICT integration, enabling citizens to apply online via the RTI portal and digital database.

Bharatiya Sakshya Adhinyam, 2023, is a statute enacted to accept electronic records and electronic signatures as evidence, thereby enhancing the legal validity of electronic transactions and communications. It also provides accessibility of electronic records in judicial proceedings.

Also, the Computer Emergency Response Team CERT-In Guidelines (2022) ensure cybersecurity by establishing reporting requirements for cyber incidents and enhancing the security of digital infrastructure. Government bodies and organisations are required to report any cyber incidents and adopt safe practices, thereby protecting their systems from cyberattacks.

Challenges of e-governance

A comprehensive and integrated approach is required to address the versatile challenges of e-governance. Successful e-governance requires overcoming the obstacles inherent in human behaviour, administrative processes, technical limitations, and resource constraints. One of the most pressing needs is to create a stable and inclusive policy structure that encourages long-term planning and coordination among all stakeholders, including citizens, government institutions and private partners. Such structures must be protected from political and bureaucratic interference, as stability and sustained effort are necessary to achieve meaningful results (Troitiño, 2023).

A clear delimitation of responsibilities and ownership is necessary to ensure accountability in



an e-governance initiative. Both implementation organisations and external stakeholders should be fully aware of their roles in achieving results. Public-private partnerships play an important role in this ecosystem, but cooperation should be conducted with sensitivity to citizens' needs to maintain confidence and efficiency in the delivery of services to them (Sathisha & Katti, 2025).

There is a tendency to put strategic planning on the back burner so that policies are implemented swiftly. Nevertheless, it must be properly planned and in a timely manner to prevent losses in efficiency and waste of resources. However, inadequate attention from public agencies and choppy funding systems usually lead to sloppy implementations that fall short of expectations. The issue is also compounded by the burgeoning issues regarding surveillance by the state, with the tightening of control over the information of citizens comes the fear of privacy violation and abuse, especially when there are no glaring legal protections against it. (Atique et al., 2024). Seriously, the success of e-governance depends on active citizen engagement. Public reaction and input from the commercial community can greatly improve service distribution by making it more sensitive and effective. It is equally important to raise public awareness of digital services through targeted campaigns and to encourage the use of e-services. Public authorities should lead citizens to use online platforms and motivate them to provide creative responses, ensuring that the digital regime remains citizen-centred and adaptive to public needs (Paul & Malachy, 2025).

Conclusion

Successful execution of e-governance initiatives involves establishing a favourable environment, and the first step in this regard is the government's intent and willingness to embrace change. Governments contribute to the development of this environment by supporting the digital transformation processes with commitment and imagination. Citizens must be made aware of the engagement through localised campaigns to raise awareness among the public. It is also important to enhance coordination between the state and central information technology departments so that technical assistance can be

easily provided to citizens. There should be an embrace of alternative ways and technologies to capture the digitally disconnected people and be inclusive.

References

1. Kamale, M. Y., & Asaju, K. (2025). E-governance adoption and service delivery in selected tertiary institutions in Adamawa State, Nigeria 2010–2024. *Futurity of Social Sciences.*, 3(1), 126–153.
<https://doi.org/10.57125/fs.2025.03.20.08>
2. Jordanoski, Z. (2025). The Role of Regulatory Frameworks in Shaping E-Governance. *IEEE*, 1. <https://doi.org/10.59490/dgo.2025.1037>
3. Lubua, E. W. (2017). E-Governance and the ICT Legislative framework. *The International Journal of Engineering and Science*, 06(03), 116–121. <https://doi.org/10.9790/1813-060301116121>
4. Reji, S. K., Moulya, B., & Rajeswari, P. (2025). E-governance evolution: India's digital leap from files to cloud. *Journal of Information Technology Teaching Cases*.
<https://doi.org/10.1177/20438869251334257>
5. Ndiyun, R. K., & Mukonza, R. M. (2025). Digital transformation of civil registration system in Cameroon: Innovations in e-Governance. *Journal of Digital Technologies and Law*, 3(1), 7–34.
<https://doi.org/10.21202/jdtl.2025.1>
6. Udejaja, E. A., Yusuf, M., & Offum, P. F. (2025). Estimating Tax Buoyancy for Nigeria in the light of Emerging Tax Reforms. *Journal of Tax Reform*, 11(1), 25–38.
<https://doi.org/10.15826/jtr.2025.11.1.190>
7. Hossen, M. D., Abedin, M. Z., Chowdhury, T. M., Islam, Z., & Kabir, M. R. (2024). Unveiling the Impact of E-Governance on the Transformation from Digital to Smart Bangladesh. *Pakistan Journal of Life and Social Sciences (PJLSS)*, 23(1).
<https://doi.org/10.57239/pjlss-2025-23.1.009>
8. Mukonavanhu, T. (2025). An international comparison of the role of artificial intelligence in e-governance towards providing better standards of living. *Electronic Government an*



- International Journal, 21(2), 137–157.
<https://doi.org/10.1504/eg.2025.144720>
9. Efthymiou, I. (2025). The role of E-Government and E-Governance in modern societies. In *Advances in computational intelligence and robotics book series* (pp. 45–68). <https://doi.org/10.4018/979-8-3693-9286-7.ch003>
 10. Lubis, S., Ahmad, J., Mustanir, A., Jabbar, A., & Ikbali, M. (2025). Blockchain and E-Governance. In *Advances in computational intelligence and robotics book series* (pp. 297–326). <https://doi.org/10.4018/979-8-3693-9286-7.ch015>
 11. Matlala, L. S. (2025). E-governance in South Africa: barriers and enablers of virtual evaluation in the public sector. *Insights Into Regional Development*, 7(2), 84–108. <https://doi.org/10.70132/d9854558432>
 12. Millard, J. (2017). European Strategies for e-Governance to 2020 and Beyond. In *Public administration and information technology* (pp. 1–25). https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-63743-3_1
 13. Abuljadail, M., Khalil, A., Talwar, S., & Kaur, P. (2023). Big data analytics and e-governance: Actors, opportunities, tensions, and applications. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, 193, 122612. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.techfore.2023.122612>
 14. Troitiño, D. R. (2023). Mapping e-governance in the EU. In *Springer eBooks* (pp. 303–317). https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-27312-4_19
 15. Sathisha, N., & Katti, M. S. (2025). Empowering Karnataka: e-Governance and Transformative public administration. *Indian Journal of Public Administration*, 71(2), 412–421. <https://doi.org/10.1177/00195561251338109>
 16. Atique, M., Htay, S. S., Mumtaz, M., Khan, N. U., & Altalbe, A. (2024). An analysis of E-governance in Pakistan from the lens of the Chinese governance model. *Heliyon*, 10(5), e27003. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2024.e27003>
 17. Sarangi, D., Pal, M. K., Prusty, S., & Chen, Q. (2022). Smart City E-Governance through intelligent ICT framework. *International Journal of Information Systems in the Service Sector*, 14(2), 1–22. <https://doi.org/10.4018/ijisss.290543>
 18. Paul, C., & Malachy, J. (2025). E-Governance Initiatives and Financial Management in the Nigerian public sector: an integrated conceptual framework for enhancing transparency and efficiency. *Dutch Journal of Finance and Management*, 8(1), 35020. <https://doi.org/10.55267/djfm/16409>