

## The Role of Artificial Intelligence in Transforming Multidisciplinary Research and Innovation

Prof. (Dr.) Rajendra Kumar<sup>1</sup>, Shallu Yadav<sup>2</sup>, Rakhi Sharma<sup>3</sup>, Dr. Anita<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Professor, Department of Management, Shivalik College of Engineering, Dehradun

Email: [deanadmin@sce.org.in](mailto:deanadmin@sce.org.in)

<sup>2</sup>Skill Assistant Professor, Skill Department of Computer Science/IT, Shri Vishwakarma Skill University, Dudhola, Palwal

Email: [shalluyadav1991@gmail.com](mailto:shalluyadav1991@gmail.com)

<sup>3</sup>Assistant Professor, Department of Commerce & Management, GNIOT Institute of Professional Studies, Greater Noida, Chaudhary Charan Singh University

Email: [sharmarakhi1113@gmail.com](mailto:sharmarakhi1113@gmail.com)

<sup>4</sup>Assistant Professor, Department of Economics, Mewar University Chittorgarh, Rajasthan

Email: [anitachinia25@gmail.com](mailto:anitachinia25@gmail.com)

### Abstract

*Artificial Intelligence is no longer only a technological advancement, but it is becoming a new language of research collaboration. In a world facing complex problems such as climate change, pandemics, sustainable development, and rapid scientific change, no single discipline can provide complete solutions. This study examines the role of Artificial Intelligence in transforming multidisciplinary research and innovation. The paper is conceptual in nature and is based on secondary sources which includes research papers, systematic reviews, bibliometric studies, and scholarly literature. It analyses AI as both an analytical tool and a structural enabler. As an analytical tool, AI supports data analysis, pattern recognition, literature synthesis, hypothesis generation, and knowledge integration. As a structural enabler, it improves communication, team coordination, knowledge sharing, and cross-disciplinary collaboration. The study also identifies the key conditions under which AI integration strengthens research outcomes, including technological readiness, data infrastructure, institutional support, human capital, ethical governance, task-technology fit, and leadership culture. The paper concludes that AI cannot replace human expertise. Its real value lies in supporting researchers, connecting disciplines, and enabling responsible innovation through meaningful human-AI collaboration.*

**Keywords:** *Artificial Intelligence; Human-AI Collaboration, Multidisciplinary Research; Research Innovation.*

### Introduction

The contemporary world is facing various complex problems such as climate change, pandemics, biotechnological innovation and sustainable development (Toto et al., 2025). These problems are not limited to one field of study. They cut across different disciplines and also need integration of different fields like science, technology, management, environment, health etc. However, the collaboration between researchers is difficult because the subjects are divided into different compartments in traditional research system. In this context, Artificial Intelligence has emerged as an important technology. AI is the powerful technology that helps to connect different fields of knowledge and changing the way research is planned, conducted, and transformed into useful

innovation. (Jain, 2025). The use of AI across multiple disciplines is bringing a major shift in research methods and innovation practices. Earlier, most research depended on specialized knowledge within a single subject area. In contrast, AI-supported multidisciplinary research which makes it possible to combine knowledge from fields that were previously disconnected (Toto et al., 2025). This change is not only about technological progress. It also reflects a deeper transformation in the way research is organized and carried out. AI helps researchers identify new connections, generate hypotheses more quickly, and explore solutions to problems that cannot be solved by one discipline alone (Mirmohammadi et al., 2024).

The role of AI is not limited to data analysis or computational speed. Modern AI systems also

includes machine learning, deep learning, and large language models which are making advanced analytical tools more accessible to researchers from different backgrounds (Jain, 2025). Earlier, such tools were mostly available to highly specialized technical experts. Now, AI helps automate routine research tasks such as reviewing literature, developing hypotheses, organizing data, and improving experimental designs. This gives researchers more time to focus on creative thinking, problem-solving, and theory development. In addition, autonomous AI agents are beginning to show the ability to support hypothesis generation, experimental work, and knowledge synthesis. This development is also changing traditional ideas about how scientific discovery takes place (Buehler, 2026). AI is already showing useful applications in many sectors. In healthcare, AI-based systems support diagnosis, treatment planning, and personalized medicine by combining knowledge from molecular biology, clinical medicine, and bioethics (Toto et al., 2025). In materials science and engineering, AI helps in discovering new compounds and improving design processes by combining physics-based knowledge with data-driven analysis (Buehler, 2026). Similarly, environmental science uses AI for climate prediction, resource management, and ecological planning by connecting computational methods with atmospheric and environmental knowledge (Jain, 2025). These examples show that the real strength of AI does not come from its use in one discipline only. Its greater value lies in its ability to connect different knowledge systems and identify patterns across disciplines (Mayasari et al., 2023).

However, the full potential of AI cannot be achieved through technology alone. Current research shows that there is still a gap between technical innovation and responsible implementation. For AI to produce meaningful and sustainable results, multidisciplinary research teams are required. Such teams should include technical experts, ethical reviewers, subject specialists, policymakers, and community representatives (Mirmohammadi et al., 2024). This is especially important because AI raises several ethical concerns. These include algorithmic bias,

data privacy, accountability in automated decisions, and equal access to AI-based benefits. These issues cannot be solved by technical experts alone. They require careful discussion among different stakeholders which includes developers, social scientists, humanists, policymakers, and affected communities (Mejía-Trejo, 2025). The COVID-19 pandemic clearly showed both the potential and the limitations of AI. During the pandemic, AI contributed to faster vaccine development, real-time disease forecasting, and improved clinical decision-making. These achievements became possible when experts from medicine, computer science, public health, and ethics worked together. At the same time, several challenges remained. Problems related to data quality, model reliability, and ethical governance continued to affect AI-based systems. This shows that advanced technology alone is not enough. Strong institutional frameworks are also needed to ensure that AI is used responsibly and effectively.

This paper examines how AI supports multidisciplinary research and innovation. The paper synthesizes existing knowledge to explain how AI works as both an analytical tool and a structural enabler of cross-disciplinary collaboration. It explores the conditions under which AI improves research outcomes and barriers that limit its adoption. The paper does not present AI as a replacement for human expertise. Rather, it views AI as a collaborative partner that strengthens the ability of diverse research teams to work together and produce meaningful outcomes (Laurent, 2026).

## Review of Literature

The literature shows that Artificial Intelligence is no longer just a digital tool. It is becoming a major force that is changing how multidisciplinary research is planned and carried out. Its impact can be seen across science, medicine, education, design, and other fields, where it supports new ways of collaboration, innovation, and problem-solving.

## Growth and Multidisciplinary Nature of AI

Bibliometric research covering nearly 60 years shows that AI has developed in three major phases.

The first phase was its early emergence between 1960 and 1979. The second phase, from 1980 to 2009, was marked by re-emergence and consolidation. In the recent phase, AI has grown into a technoscientific field that is now connected with many domains, collaboration networks, and multidisciplinary research projects (Arencibia-Jorge et al., 2022). A broad survey also shows that AI has become a powerful research paradigm across information science, mathematics, medicine, materials science, geoscience, life sciences, physics, and chemistry. It supports perception, cognition, and decision-making, and has a strong influence on both basic and applied sciences (Xu et al., 2021). Similarly, a systematic review from 2013 to 2023 highlights AI's impact in medicine, psychology, agriculture, physics, and economics. These studies show that AI is not only supporting research, but also encouraging paradigm shifts and helping solve complex problems across disciplines (Toto et al., 2025).

### **AI as an Engine of Scientific and Biomedical Innovation**

AI and deep learning are becoming highly useful in health and pharmaceutical research. They help speed up drug discovery, improve clinical trial design, support personalized treatment, and strengthen epidemic forecasting. This can make research faster, more systematic, and more cost-effective (Bhattamisra et al., 2023; Gupta et al., 2021). New systems such as self-driving labs are also changing biomedical research. These labs use AI to conduct many experiments and are especially important in drug discovery, nanomedicine, and precision oncology, although they also create challenges for emerging economies (Da Silva, 2024). Recent AI-based virtual labs show that language-model agents can help design and improve nanobody candidates. This means AI is no longer only a predictive tool; it is also becoming a partner in hypothesis generation and experimental design (Kim et al., 2026). AI is also useful in specific areas such as smart nanocarriers for drug and gene delivery, where machine learning improves design, loading, and release processes. However, success in this area still depends on

strong collaboration among AI experts, materials scientists, and medical researchers (Noury, 2025).

### **Mapping and Managing Interdisciplinarity**

AI and network science are also being used to understand how interdisciplinary fields develop over time. For example, in Italian design research, AI-based text analysis and network methods show a clear shift from individual research toward more collaborative work. They also highlight the dual role of AI. It supports stronger cross-disciplinary integration, while also allowing researchers to focus on more specialized areas (Pretolesi et al., 2025). Another study of nearly 15,000 AI–COVID-19 papers found that adding AI experts to a team does not automatically produce high-impact research. What matters more is how well different types of knowledge are actually combined. This shows that meaningful interdisciplinarity must be real and integrated, not just superficial (Abbonato et al., 2024).

### **Human–AI Collaboration, Explainability, and Governance**

In education and learning sciences, hybrid human–AI models are gaining attention. These models combine the analytical strength of AI with human regulation, reflection, and metacognitive skills. As a result, they are reshaping how learning is understood and creating new opportunities for research on socially shared regulation of learning (Akpan et al., 2024). Conversational and generative AI tools, such as ChatGPT, are also spreading quickly across computer science, healthcare, engineering, and business. They support teaching, research, coding, and content creation. At the same time, they raise concerns related to plagiarism, misinformation, privacy, and responsible use, which creates the need for stronger policies and monitoring systems (Onciul et al., 2025). Explainable AI has also become an important interdisciplinary field. It focuses on making black-box AI models more transparent, trustworthy, and useful in real-world research and innovation. The literature highlights several technical, ethical, legal, and user-centered challenges that require collaboration across different domains (Górriz et al., 2023; Onciul et al., 2025). Overall, AI is

emerging as a broad research infrastructure. It speeds up discovery, supports cross-disciplinary collaboration, and also creates new challenges related to governance, equity, and explainability that can only be addressed through multidisciplinary research.

## Research Gap

The reviewed literature shows that AI is widely used in medicine, education, design, agriculture, and scientific research. Most studies focus on AI's technical benefits, such as faster analysis, prediction, automation, and improved decision-making. However, limited attention has been given to how AI works as both an **analytical tool** and a **structural enabler** for cross-disciplinary collaboration. Existing studies also discuss AI applications separately, but they do not clearly explain the conditions under which AI actually strengthens research outcomes.

## Objectives of the Study

The main objectives of study are formulated based on the research gap identified:

1. To analyse the role of artificial intelligence as an analytical tool and as a structural enabler in promoting cross-disciplinary collaboration.
2. To explore the conditions under which AI integration strengthen research outcomes.

## Research Methodology

This study is conceptual in nature and is based on secondary sources of information. Relevant literature was reviewed from research papers, systematic reviews, bibliometric studies, and scholarly articles related to AI, multidisciplinary research, innovation, and human–AI collaboration. The collected literature was analysed thematically to understand AI's role as an analytical tool, structural enabler, and research support system.

## Result and Discussion

### Artificial Intelligence as an Analytical Tool

The existing literature shows that AI works as a powerful analytical tool for connecting knowledge across different disciplines. It helps researchers bring together ideas, data, and methods that are often separated by traditional academic boundaries.

At the cognitive level, modern AI systems, such as large language models, machine learning algorithms, and compound AI architectures, are especially useful in identifying hidden patterns within large and complex datasets. These patterns are often difficult for human researchers to detect on their own, especially when the data comes from different fields and sources (Volkova, 2025). AI should not be seen only as a computational tool. Its role is broader than that. It can also act as a knowledge translator. It helps convert field-specific terms, methods, and concepts into forms that researchers from other disciplines can understand and use (Ke, 2025). This is particularly important in multidisciplinary research. Researchers from different fields often use different words to explain similar ideas. AI can reduce this gap by helping them communicate more clearly and work with shared meanings.

At the organizational level, AI also saves time by automating routine research tasks. These tasks include literature review, data curation, hypothesis development, and experimental design improvement (Saari, 2025). Such activities usually take a considerable amount of time and effort. When AI supports these processes, researchers can focus more on higher-level intellectual work. This includes theory building, developing new research questions, and interpreting findings in a creative way. This does not mean that AI replaces human expertise. Rather, it strengthens it. AI reduces the burden of repetitive work and helps research teams move faster through the knowledge cycle (Jain, 2025). For example, in healthcare, AI-supported knowledge synthesis can combine insights from molecular biology, clinical medicine, and bioethics. This kind of integration is difficult for any single discipline to achieve independently (Toto, 2025). In the same way, in materials science, compound AI systems can combine physics-based reasoning with data-driven analysis. This helps researchers discover new compounds and improve design processes more efficiently (Buehler, 2026).

At the epistemic level, AI acts as a link between specialized knowledge systems. It helps researchers frame problems in ways that cross traditional disciplinary boundaries (Li, 2025). Some studies

show that AI-enabled multidisciplinary teams achieve better integration of cross-domain knowledge than teams without such support. For instance, Yan (2025) reported a 37% improvement in interdisciplinary project outcomes when AI tools were used. This suggests that AI can help reveal connections that may remain hidden within separate academic literatures. One reason for this is representation learning. Through this process, AI systems organize domain-specific knowledge into abstract forms. These forms make it easier to identify relationships between ideas, methods, or findings from different fields (Ying, 2025).

The effective use of AI depends on human–AI complementarity, not AI autonomy. AI should support researchers, not replace them. Studies on human–AI collaboration show that the best results are achieved when AI recommendations are reviewed critically by domain experts. When researchers treat AI outputs as suggestions rather than final answers, performance improves beyond what either humans or AI could achieve alone (Hemmer, 2024).

### **Artificial Intelligence as Structural Enabler**

Beyond its analytical role, AI also works as a structural enabler in multidisciplinary research. It changes how research teams are organized, how collaboration is managed, and how knowledge moves across different fields. In this sense, AI does not only support research activities. It also reshapes the system in which research takes place (Westover, 2025). At the communication level, AI-supported platforms help researchers from different academic backgrounds understand one another more easily. This is very important in multidisciplinary research because each field often has its own language, methods, and assumptions. Researchers may sometimes talk about similar ideas but use completely different terms. Specialized AI agents can help align terminologies, research methods, and knowledge systems across disciplines (Volkova, 2025). This reduces the communication gap and makes collaboration smoother.

At the organizational level, AI makes new forms of distributed collaboration possible. Earlier,

managing large multidisciplinary teams across different locations was often difficult. Now, AI-supported systems can help coordinate complex research workflows (Witkowski, 2024). Multi-agent AI systems can assign problems to suitable experts, combine their inputs, and identify areas where human discussion is still needed (Ma, 2026). In this way, AI can act as a kind of collaborative infrastructure. AI also plays an important role in knowledge governance and institutional memory. Advanced AI systems, especially those using retrieval-augmented generation and knowledge graphs, can create organized and searchable repositories of multidisciplinary research (Lazanas, 2026). These systems help preserve research insights and make them easier to find later. This is valuable because important knowledge is often lost in departmental files, personal notes, or individual researchers' memories. With AI-enabled systems, knowledge generated through collaboration can be stored, connected, and reused. This changes how institutions capture and mobilize research knowledge. Instead of keeping insights limited to one department or one team, AI can make interdisciplinary knowledge available for future projects (Banks, 2025). This supports continuity in research and reduces duplication of effort. AI can also support the formation of research teams. It can help identify which experts are needed for a particular multidisciplinary problem. For example, AI systems can recommend combinations of researchers with complementary skills and highlight knowledge gaps that may require additional team members (Li, 2025). This makes team formation more systematic. It shifts the process from informal or ad-hoc selection toward a more evidence-informed approach based on the nature of the research problem (Huzoore, 2026).

### **Conditions under which AI Integration Strengthens Research Outcomes**

Although AI has strong potential, its success in research depends not only on advanced technology but also on how it is applied. Proper data systems, skilled researchers, institutional support, and ethical governance are necessary for effective use. Therefore, this section explains the conditions under which AI improves research performance

and why some AI implementations succeed while others fail (Alabdooli, 2026).

1. **Technological and Data Infrastructure**

**Conditions:** Effective AI integration in research requires strong technological readiness and mature data infrastructure. Simply adopting AI tools is not enough; organizations also need proper data governance, system integration, computational support, and reliable data quality (Aloufi, 2026). When data is fragmented, incomplete, or poorly managed, AI systems often fail to perform effectively (Hossain, 2025). Research environments with standardized data, interoperable systems, clear documentation, validation procedures, and governance mechanisms achieve better AI performance (Abbasnejad, 2025). Thus, technological readiness is a basic requirement for AI-supported research. Alone, it may not guarantee success, but with other supportive conditions, it can strongly accelerate research outcomes (Elmourad, 2026).

2. **Organizational and Institutional Readiness:**

Beyond technical infrastructure, organizational readiness also plays an important role in the success of AI integration. Strong leadership, clear strategy, change management capacity, and institutional support are necessary for improving research outcomes (N, 2026). When organizations adopt AI without a clear purpose, leadership commitment, or structures that support cross-disciplinary collaboration, AI often fails to create meaningful results (Erdmann, 2025). Evidence shows that institutions investing in strategy, governance, leadership alignment, and change management achieve better research performance than those focusing only on technology (Abbasnejad, 2025; Elmourad, 2026). Therefore, AI works best when its use is aligned with institutional goals, funding priorities, workforce development, and long-term research objectives (Kalogeratos, 2026).

3. **Human Capital and Workforce Readiness:**

AI integration improves research outcomes when institutions also invest in people. Researchers need AI literacy, technical skills,

and psychological readiness to use AI with confidence and judgment (Haq, 2025). Studies in education, healthcare, and business show that lack of workforce readiness often limits the real value of AI. Therefore, organizations achieve better implementation success when they develop human skills alongside AI adoption, rather than treating training as a later step (Abbasnejad, 2025).

4. **Governance, Ethics and Trust Conditions:**

Effective governance is essential for improving AI-based research outcomes. It includes ethical oversight, transparency, accountability, and stakeholder participation (Karami, 2026). When organizations use clear governance systems, researchers and stakeholders develop greater trust in AI and become more willing to use AI-supported recommendations in decision-making (Codreanu, 2026). Transparency and explainable AI help researchers understand how AI systems work and what assumptions they follow, while “black box” systems often create doubt and resistance (Gonzalez, 2026). Clear accountability is also important, because responsibility for AI-based decisions must remain with humans, especially in high-risk situations (Passmore, 2026). Along with this, ethical frameworks should be built into AI design from the beginning, not added later. Issues such as bias, fairness, access, and institutional values must be considered carefully to make AI implementation more responsible and sustainable (Karami, 2026; Gonzalez, 2026).

5. **Task-Context Fit and Problem Structure:**

AI does not improve every research problem in the same way. Its usefulness depends on the nature of the task and the structure of the problem (Revilla, 2023). AI works best when the task involves large amounts of information, complex patterns, clear performance measures, and data from different fields that need to be combined (Volkova, 2025; Abbasnejad, 2025). It is also more effective when human experts can review, interpret, and override AI suggestions when needed (Valaboju, 2024). However, AI may be less useful for highly new

problems with limited data, tasks requiring deep creativity, ethical judgment, or strong human values, and situations where explainability is essential (Revilla, 2023; Gonzalez, 2026; Codreanu, 2026). Therefore, AI should be used only where it fits the task properly and where human expertise remains central to decision-making.

6. **Leadership, Culture and Collaborative Structures:** Organizational culture and leadership strongly influence whether AI improves research outcomes or weakens them (Kalogeratos, 2026). Leaders should treat AI as a tool for generating ideas, not as a final decision-maker. AI suggestions need human review, expert judgment, and critical validation (Passmore, 2026). When leaders accept AI outputs without questioning them, it can create automation bias and reduce research quality. A supportive culture is equally important. Research teams perform better when the organization encourages collaboration, knowledge sharing, experimentation, and continuous learning (Magsi, 2026). AI works best in environments where researchers feel safe to question its recommendations and learn from implementation challenges, rather than in cultures that focus only on speed, efficiency, or strict technological compliance.

## Conclusion

This study concludes that Artificial Intelligence is playing an important role in transforming multidisciplinary research and innovation. AI is not only useful for data analysis, prediction, and automation; it also helps connect different disciplines by supporting knowledge sharing, pattern recognition, and cross-disciplinary collaboration. In this way, AI works as both an analytical tool and a structural enabler. The findings show that AI can improve research outcomes when it is used with proper planning and human judgment. It helps researchers review literature, identify hidden patterns, generate ideas, and manage complex research workflows. At the same time, AI cannot replace human expertise. Its real value appears when researchers critically evaluate AI outputs and combine them with ethical

reasoning, creativity, and subject knowledge. The study also highlights that successful AI integration depends on several conditions. These include strong data infrastructure, institutional readiness, skilled researchers, ethical governance, leadership support, and task-technology fit. Without these conditions, even advanced AI tools may fail to produce meaningful results. Overall, AI should be understood as a collaborative partner in research. When used responsibly, it can strengthen multidisciplinary innovation, improve research quality, and help institutions address complex social, scientific, and technological challenges.

## Limitations and Future Direction of Study

This study is conceptual in nature, so it is based only on secondary literature and does not include primary data or empirical testing. The findings are drawn from existing studies, which may limit the practical generalization of the results. Another limitation is that AI is rapidly changing, so some developments may become outdated over time. Future research can use empirical methods, such as surveys, interviews, or case studies, to examine how AI actually supports multidisciplinary research teams. Further studies may also compare different sectors to understand where AI integration produces the strongest research and innovation outcomes.

## References

1. Abbasnejad, B., Soltani, S., Taghizadeh, F., & Zare, A. (2025). Developing a multilevel framework for AI integration in technical and engineering higher education: insights from bibliometric analysis and ethnographic research. *Interactive Technology and Smart Education*, 23 (1), 49-79. <https://doi.org/10.1108/itse-12-2024-0314>
2. Abbonato, D., Bianchini, S., Gargiulo, F., & Venturini, T. (2024). Interdisciplinary research in artificial intelligence: Lessons from COVID-19. *Quantitative Science Studies*, 5 (4), 922-935. [https://doi.org/10.1162/qss\\_a\\_00329](https://doi.org/10.1162/qss_a_00329).
3. Akpan, I., Kobara, Y., Owolabi, J., Akpan, A., & Offodile, O. (2024). Conversational and generative artificial intelligence and human-chatbot interaction in education and research. *Int. Trans. Oper. Res.*, 32 (3), 1251-1281. <https://doi.org/10.1111/itor.13522>.

4. Alabdooli, E. A. S.A., & Eni, S. (2025). Artificial Intelligence Adoption in Forensic Science for City Security in the UAE. *International Journal of Social Science Research*, 13 (3). <https://doi.org/10.5296/ijssr.v13i3.23614>
5. Aloufi, E. M. (2026). Technical and organizational challenges in the adoption of large language models within enterprises: A comparative study of cloud, on-premises, and hybrid environments. *Edelweiss Applied Science and Technology*, 10 (1), 60-76. <https://doi.org/10.55214/2576-8484.v10i1.11493>
6. Alzubaidi, L., Zhang, J., Humaidi, A., Al-Dujaili, A., Duan, Y., Al-Shamma, O., Santamaría, J., Fadhel, M., Al-Amidie, M., & Farhan, L. (2021). Review of deep learning: concepts, CNN architectures, challenges, applications, future directions. *Journal of Big Data*, 8 (53). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40537-021-00444-8>.
7. Arencibia-Jorge, R., Vega-Almeida, R., Jiménez-Andrade, J., & Carrillo-Calvet, H. (2022). Evolutionary stages and multidisciplinary nature of artificial intelligence research. *Scientometrics*, 127, 5139 -5158. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11192-022-04477-5>.
8. Bai, P., Miljković, F., John, B., & Lu, H. (2023). Interpretable bilinear attention network with domain adaptation improves drug–target prediction. *Nature Machine Intelligence*, 5, 126-136. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s42256-022-00605-1>
9. Bhattamisra, S.K., Banerjee, P., Gupta, P., Mayuren, J., Patra, S., & Candasamy, M. (2023). Artificial Intelligence in Pharmaceutical and Healthcare Research. *Big Data Cogn. Comput.*, 7 (1), 10. <https://doi.org/10.3390/bdcc7010010>.
10. Buehler, M. J. (2026). Physics-aware emergent cognition for discovery, materials innovation, and design through agentic modeling. *Nano Futures*, 10 (1). <https://doi.org/10.1088/2399-1984/ae4152>
11. Chaudhari, H., & Mishra, S. (2024). Leveraging Artificial Intelligence for Enhanced Performance in Matrix Organizations: A Research Perspective. *INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF SCIENTIFIC RESEARCH IN ENGINEERING AND MANAGEMENT*, 8 (7). <https://doi.org/10.55041/ijssrem36332>
12. Cullen, B., Snyder, K., Rubin, D., & Tufan, H. (2023). ‘They think we are delaying their outputs’. The challenges of interdisciplinary research: understanding power dynamics between social and biophysical scientists in international crop breeding teams. *Frontiers in Sustainable Food Systems*, 7. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fsufs.2023.1250709>
13. Da Silva, R.G.L. (2024). The advancement of artificial intelligence in biomedical research and health innovation: challenges and opportunities in emerging economies. *Globalization and Health*, 20 (44). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12992-024-01049-5>.
14. Dung, T. M., Trung, T. T., Quan, L. H., Trung, L. T. B. T., Nga, N. T., & Nguyen, T.-T. (2026). From Passive Acceptance to Active Integration: A Multi-Stage Model of In-Service Secondary Vietnamese Teachers’ AI Readiness. *Journal of Information Technology Education: Research*, 25, 16. <https://doi.org/10.28945/5759>
15. Elmourad, T., Hadjiphani, L., Christofi, K., Chourides, P., & Kythreotis, A. (2026). AI Adoption in K–12 Education: A Model of Skills Transformation, Productivity, and Institutional Readiness. *Education Sciences*, 16 (2), 337. <https://doi.org/10.3390/educsci16020337>
16. Erdmann, A., & Toro-Dupouy, L. (2025). The influence of the institutional environment on AI adoption in universities: identifying value drivers and necessary conditions. *European Journal of Innovation Management*, 28 (9), 4365-4398. <https://doi.org/10.1108/ejim-04-2024-0407>
17. Frederick, C. (2025). Leveraging Artificial Intelligence for Enhanced Information Communication: Opportunities, Challenges, and Organizational Implications. *Journal of Applied Economics and Social Dynamics*, 1 (01). <https://doi.org/10.66393/nf84gj88>
18. Gonzalez, C., Donahue, K., Goldstein, D. G., Heidari, H., Jalali, M. S., Schelble, B. G., Singh, A., & Woolley, A. (2026). Toward a science of human–AI teaming for decision making: A complementarity framework. *PNAS Nexus*, 5 (3). <https://doi.org/10.1093/pnasnexus/pgag030>
19. Gupta, R., Srivastava, D., Sahu, M., Tiwari, S., Ambasta, R., & Kumar, P. (2021). Artificial intelligence to deep learning: machine intelligence approach for drug discovery. *Molecular Diversity*, 25, 1315 - 1360. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11030-021-10217-3>.

20. Haq, F. U., Asim, M., Suki, N. M., Zakaria, N., & Hussain, S. (2025). AI Adoption and Educational Effectiveness in Emerging Higher Education Institutions: The Moderating Role of Digital Literacy and Institutional Support. *Journal of Information & Knowledge Management*, 25 (03), 2550090. <https://doi.org/10.1142/s021964922550090x>
21. Hemmer, P., Schemmer, M., Kuhl, N., Vossing, M., & Satzger, G. (2025). Complementarity in human-AI collaboration: concept, sources, and evidence. *European Journal of Information Systems*, 34 (6), 979-1002. <https://doi.org/10.1080/0960085X.2025.2475962>
22. Hossain, M. S., Sikdar, M. S. H., Chowdhury, A., Bhuiyan, S. M. Y., & Mobin, S. M. (2025). AI-DRIVEN AGGREGATE PLANNING FOR SUSTAINABLE SUPPLY CHAINS: A SYSTEMATIC LITERATURE REVIEW OF MODELS, APPLICATIONS, AND INDUSTRY IMPACTS. *American Journal of Advanced Technology and Engineering Solutions*, 1 (01), 382-437. <https://doi.org/10.63125/3jdpkd14>
23. Huzooree, G. (2026). E-leadership and human-AI collaboration: socio-technical alignment in project-based teams. *Journal of Organizational Effectiveness: People and Performance*, 1-22. <https://doi.org/10.1108/joep-10-2025-0976>
24. Jain, M., & Shetty, Dr. M. V. (2025). Harnessing the Power of AI for Transforming Research. *Allana Management Journal of Research*. 15, (02), 043-053. <https://doi.org/10.62223/amjr.2025.150205>
25. Kalogeratos, G., Anastasopoulou, E., & Kapota, T. (2026). Educational Organizations in the AI Era: Digital Management and Leadership, Digital Skills, and Innovation. *International Journal of Advanced Multidisciplinary Research and Studies*, 6 (3), 78-84. <https://doi.org/10.62225/2583049x.2026.6.3.6209>
26. Karami, M., & Bouraghi, H. (2026). Designing AI-enabled HR systems: dynamic capabilities, stakeholder legitimacy and human-AI integration. *Strategic HR Review*, vol. ahead-of-print No. ahead-of-print. <https://doi.org/10.1108/shr-02-2026-0016>
27. Kim, H., Kwon, T., Kim, S., & Kim, S. (2026). Virtual lab of artificial intelligence agents accelerating nanobody design against SARS-CoV-2 variants. *International Journal of Biological Sciences*, 22 (2), 618 - 621. <https://doi.org/10.7150/ijbs.126093>
28. Langlotz, C. p., Kim, J., Shah, N., Lungren, M., Larson, D., Datta, S., Li, F., O'Hara, R., Montine, T., Harrington, R., & Gold, G. (2024). Developing a Research Center for Artificial Intelligence in Medicine. *Mayo Clinic Proceedings: Digital Health*, 2 (4), 677 - 686. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mcpdig.2024.07.005>
29. Laurent, M. A. (2026). Accelerating Science Development with AI. *Science Insights*, 48 (1), 2107-2110. <https://doi.org/10.15354/si.26.pe131>
30. Lazanas, A., & Angelopoulos, Y. (2026). A Knowledge-Driven Framework for Integrating e-Communities of Practice into Intelligent Organizational Decision Systems. *Interdisciplinary Journal of Information, Knowledge, and Management*, 21, 07 <https://doi.org/10.28945/5740>
31. Li, W., & Su, N. (2025). Innovative Research on Interdisciplinary Collaborative Education Mechanisms Driven by Artificial Intelligence. *2025 5th International Conference on Artificial Intelligence and Education (ICAIE)*, 666-669. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ICAIE64856.2025.11158384>
32. Luca, L., Brcic, M., Cabitza, F., Choi, J., Confalonieri, R., Ser, J. D., Guidotti, R., Hayashi, Y., Herrera, F., Holzinger, A., Jiang, R., Khosravi, H., Lécué, F., Malgieri, G., P'aez, A., Samek, W., Schneider, J., Speith, T., & Stumpf, S. (2023). Explainable Artificial Intelligence (XAI) 2.0: A Manifesto of Open Challenges and Interdisciplinary Research Directions. *Information Fusion*, 106. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.inffus.2024.102301>
33. Ma, Z., Yang, C., Song, Y., Zhu, J., Yang, L., Xu, L., Xiao, M., & Jiang, X. (2026). MDAgent: A Multi-Agent Framework for End-to-End Molecular Dynamics Research. <https://www.semanticscholar.org/paper/7f4a43491da40b1e1a2798169e43078750addf0e>
34. Magsi, S. K., Ahmad, M., Khalid, M. A., Syed, M. I., Ali, J. M. H., & Fazal, M. (2026). Enterprise Intelligence 5.0: Human AI Co-Creation Models for Strategic Leadership, Innovation, and Competitive Advantage. *Inverge Journal of Social Sciences*, 5 (1), 42-53. <https://doi.org/10.63544/ijss.v5i1.220>
35. Mayasari, N., Muthmainah, H. N., & Kristiono, N. (2023). Multidisciplinary

- Research Mapping in Automation and Artificial Intelligence: A Bibliometric Analysis to Identify Science Convergence. *West Science Nature and Technology*, 1 (01). <https://doi.org/10.58812/wsnt.v1i01.234>
36. Mejía-Trejo, J. (2025). Innovating sustainable artificial intelligence citizenship: a qualitative study of the CAITIZEN Model using ATLAS.ti. *Scientia et PRAXIS*, 5 (10). <https://doi.org/10.55965/setp.5.10.a5>
  37. Nag, S., Baidya, A.T.K., Mandal, A., Mathew, A., Das, B., Devi, B., & Kumar, R. (2022). Deep learning tools for advancing drug discovery and development. *3 Biotech*, 12 (110). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13205-022-03165-8>.
  38. Noury, H., Rahdar, A., Ferreira, L.F.R., & Jamalpoor, Z. (2025). AI-Driven Innovations in Smart Multifunctional Nanocarriers for Drug and Gene Delivery: A Mini-Review. *Critical reviews in oncology/hematology*, 210. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.critrevonc.2025.104701>.
  39. Onciul, R., Tataru, C., Dumitru, A., Crivoi, C., Şerban, M., Covache-Busuioac, R., Radoi, M., & Toader, C. (2025). Artificial Intelligence and Neuroscience: Transformative Synergies in Brain Research and Clinical Applications. *Journal of Clinical Medicine*, 14 (2). <https://doi.org/10.3390/jcm14020550>.
  40. Passmore, J. (2026). Symbiarchic leadership: leading integrated human and AI cyber teams. *Strategic HR Review*, vol. ahead-of-print No. ahead-of-print. <https://doi.org/10.1108/shr-01-2026-0001>
  41. Pretolesi, D., Stanzani, I., Ravera, S., Vian, A., & Barla, A. (2025). Artificial intelligence and network science as tools to illustrate academic research evolution in interdisciplinary fields: The case of Italian design. *PLOS ONE*, 20 (1). <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0315216>.
  42. Revilla, E., Saenz, M., Seifert, M., & Ma, Y. (2023). Human–Artificial Intelligence Collaboration in Prediction: A Field Experiment in the Retail Industry. *Journal of Management Information Systems*, 40 (3), 1071-1098. <https://doi.org/10.1080/07421222.2023.2267317>
  43. Saari, M. N., & Arshad, M. A. (2025). Enhancing Research with Chatgpt: Seven Strategic Applications. *International Journal of Research and Innovation in Social Science*. <https://doi.org/10.47772/ijriss.2025.903sedu0235>
  44. Sabnis, Y. (2025). Responsible Ai Guardrails For Enterprises: A Managerial Framework For Balancing Innovation, Governance, And Trust A Structured Literature Review. *International Journal of Applied Mathematics*, 38 (9s). <https://doi.org/10.12732/ijam.v38i9s.767>
  45. Savaş, S. (2025). Artificial intelligence in construction project management: Trends, challenges and future directions. *Journal of Design for Resilience in Architecture and Planning*, 6 (2). <https://doi.org/10.47818/drarch.2025.v6i2165>
  46. Sharma, N., Sharma, R., & Jindal, N. (2021). Machine Learning and Deep Learning Applications-A Vision. *Global Transitions Proceedings*, 2 (1), 24-28. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gltip.2021.01.004>.
  47. Toto, G. A., Grilli, L., Traetta, L., Villani, R., Petito, A., & Serviddio, G. (2025). Convergence of disciplines: a systematic review of multidisciplinary development approaches in artificial intelligence. *Frontiers in Digital Health*, 7. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fdgth.2025.1400338>.
  48. Valaboju, P.K. (2024). The Synergistic Impact of Human-AI Collaboration: A Multi-Domain Analysis. *International Journal of Scientific Research in Computer Science Engineering and Information Technology*, 10 (05). <https://doi.org/10.32628/cseit241051083>
  49. Volkova, S., Bautista, P., Hiriyanna, A., Ganberg, G., Erickson, I., Klinefelter, Z., Abele, N., Kao, H.-T., & Engberson, G. (2025). Cross-Disciplinary Knowledge Retrieval and Synthesis: A Compound AI Architecture for Scientific Discovery. *arXiv.Org*. <https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2511.18298>
  50. Westover, J. H. (2025). When Artificial Intelligence Becomes the Teammate: Rethinking Innovation, Collaboration, and Organizational Design in the GenAI Era. *Human Capital Leadership Review*, 28 (1). <https://doi.org/10.70175/hclreview.2020.28.1.2>
  51. Yan, Z., & Tang, Q. (2025). Integrating AI-generated content tools in higher education: a comparative analysis of interdisciplinary learning outcomes. *Scientific Reports*, 15, 25802. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-025-10941-y>