Economic Sciences
https://economic-sciences.com
ES (2025) 21(5S), 172-183| ISSN:1505-4683

Building Trust in Labor Markets through Blockchain-Enabled Wage Transparency: A Pathway to Fair Labor Practices and Inclusive Growth

Shashikumar Bhambhani[1], Mahendra Patel[2], Zubin Bhaidasna[3], Hetal Bhaidasna[4], Hiren Harsora[5], Shailak Jani[6]

[1]Assistant Professor, Parul Institute of Management and Research, Parul University; Email ID: shashikumar.bhambhani35341@paruluniversity.ac.in; ORC ID: 0009-0007-0225-9736
[2]Assistant Professor, Parul Institute of Business Administration, Parul University; Email ID: mahendra.patel@paruluniversity.ac.in; ORC ID: 0000-0001-8744-2503
[3]Assistant Professor, Computer Engineering, G H Patel College of Engineering and Technology; Email: zubinbhaidasna@gcet.ac.in; ORC ID: 0000-0003-1705-5924
[4]Assistant Professor, Computer Engineering, PIET-DS, Parul University; Email: hetal.bhaidasna@paruluniversity.ac.in; ORC ID: 0000-0002-0043-3573
[5]Assistant Professor, Parul Institute of Management and Research, Parul University; Email ID: hirenharsora7@gmail.com; ORC ID: 0000-0001-5737-735X
[6]Assistant Professor, Parul Institute of Management and Research, Parul University; Email ID: 93janisra@gmail.com; ORC ID: 0000-0002-7270-7916

Abstract: *The increasing transparency, accountability, and fairness in the labour practises can also be regarded as a potentially highly promising opportunity offered by blockchain technology, which would directly lead to the purpose of Sustainable Development Goal 8 (SDG 8) to steer towards a decent work and inclusive economic development. This article discusses the application of blockchain that can be strategically adopted to preserve wage transparency, prevent labour exploitation, and be accountable in correcting the fair employment standards in both formal and informal sectors. Smart contracts, responsible ledgers, and decentralised validation are utilised in blockchain to track the employment conditions, payrolls integrity, and violation of labour rights in real time. The examples of the cases in the international supply chains and pilot programmes in the low-income countries testify that it may reduce wage theft, reinforce the ethical sourcing and create confidence in the employer and the employees. In pursuit of the mass adoption, the article also discussed the problem of regulations, technical and ethical considerations like data privacy, scale, digital literacy that may be a threat. It emphasises multi-stakeholder collaboration between governments, technology suppliers, and labour unions to integrate blockchain into its entire potential in terms of contribution to SDG 8. Policy recommendations and strategic roads of action in the direction of integrating blockchain into the labour governance structures are the final elements of the article.*

Keywords: *Blockchain; Decent Work; Ethical Labor; Fair Employment; Immutable Ledger; Labor Rights; SDG 8; Smart Contracts; Supply Chain Transparency; Wage Transparency.*

1. Introduction

The contemporary labour regulation relies on the transparency in remuneration of wages and equitable labour regulations. Systemic problems of wage theft, dark payroll practises, and lack of accountability have continued to afflict formal and informal labour markets and are likely to disfavour all employees who are vulnerable in the global supply chains. These issues should be discussed not only on the ethical ground but also in order to enhance the economic inclusion and social confidence.

The blockchain technology has been one of the solutions to these systemic problems, which is emerging. A distributed ledger system can be referred to as blockchain that is comprised of stored data, which are indexed and time-stamped as immutable data, stored on a distributed network, and thus is capable of transparently keeping records (Seebacher & Schuritz, 2019). This technical foundation that gets integrated with smart contracts to execute programmed actions automatically creates the opportunity to empower wage transparency and governance (LeBaron et al., 2020).

Economic Sciences
https://economic-sciences.com
ES (2025) 21(5S), 172-183| ISSN:1505-4683

The features of the blockchain can revolutionise the payroll operations within the labour market. Wage payments can be made based on specified conditions through the introduction of automated smart contracts, which will reduce the possibility of salary delay or manipulation. (Jani, 2021) Furthermore, the unchangeable registers provide auditable audit trails, enhancing accountability and trust among the staff, employers, and regulators.

Such applications have already been supported. Chronic issues in the freelance economy include lateness issues, lack of transparency as an illustration. It has proposed systems that concentrate on blockchain as solutions to such problems since they would be timely, secure, and reliable in the settlement of wages (Lakshmi, 2025). Additionally, application research in the field of the supply chain demonstrates that blockchain has the potential to significantly increase the transparency of human resource information that increases the trust of different stakeholders (Ghosh et al., 2025).

In this article, the author speaks about the opportunity to implement blockchain in a strategic manner in order to raise the degree of trust and transparency in the labour market. It examines theoretical justifications, gives case studies across industries and discusses regulatory, technical and ethical barriers that one should avoid when it comes to fair implementation. Lastly, it will provide useful resolutions to the policymakers, labour activists, and institutions that would require integrating equity and openness in the labour systems.

2. Literature Review

2.1 Existing Research on Labor Rights, Wage Transparency, and Fair Practices

The global agendas of social justice, equity, and sustainable development have focused on the issue of labour rights. The main facets of fair labour practises are the right to decent work, non-discrimination, freedom of association and collective bargaining, of which the international organisations, such as the International Labour Organisation (ILO), emphasise (ILO, 2021). Openness of salaries, in particular, has now been one of the most significant means to reduce asymmetries of information, reduce salary robbery, and address the systematic gender and racial pay gaps (Bennedsen et al., 2022). A study of European labour markets demonstrates that pay transparency prerogatives can use the compensation packages of organisations to reduce the pay disparities (Bai et al., 2021).

The books also reveal that wage transparency increases accountability of an organisation because it connects the compensation systems to the ideals of equity and fairness (Estlund, 2019). In the majority of developing countries, however, this is circumvented by institutional weaknesses, informal working and enforcing (Bhorat et al., 2022). One of the examples is informal sector workers who, in certain cases, they can form an impressive portion of the labour force, in Asia and Sub-Saharan Africa they are prone to be unregulated by the wage levels and labour protection (Chen et al., 2021). This brings up the need of new systems, which can establish transparency in both the formal and informal form.

2.2 Prior Uses of Digital Technologies in Labor Governance

Governance of labour has increasingly been associated with digital technologies to fulfil the roles of compliance, transparency, and protection of workers. The traditional digital systems, such as electronic payroll systems and labour inspection databases, have expanded the ability of the regulators to audit employment (Kucera and Sari, 2019). More recently, the intention to track exploitative patterns within supply chains such as forced labour and wage manipulation has used analytics grounded in big data and mobile applications and artificial intelligence (Meijerink and Keegan, 2019).

It has been noted that blockchain technology is another potential tool that can be used to improve traceability and accountability of labour markets. It has demonstrated that the global supply chains, where pilots are engaged in the garment and agricultural sectors specifically, could generate an indelible history of employment agreements and payments (Jani, 2020). Automatic payment of salaries can be used by the smart contracts as well, and it allows making payments in time and make them mutable (Murray et al., 2021). Additionally, blockchain has been used in implementing ethical sourcing certifications, and currently, consumers and regulators are able to view the labour conditions

within the complex international supply chains (Saberi et al., 2019).

Despite such developments, scaling such technologies still poses problems. The privacy of the information, the inability to unify it with the old systems, and the un-digitalization of low-income regions are some of the concerns that have decreased their spread (Tripathy et al., 2022). Nevertheless, the literature can tell a lot in terms of transformational character of new technologies in the improvement of labour rights and transparency mechanisms.

2.3 Gaps in Current Approaches

Although the significant development of the activity of advancing labour rights and wage transparency has been made, a number of gaps in practise and in the field of scholarship remain. Firstly, a substantial portion of the existing literature focuses on the established economies and minimal material is presented regarding the effectiveness of wage transparency measures and online interventions in the Global South (Barrientos, 2019). Still, not researched in digital labour governance structures are informal sector workers who disproportionately face the threat of exploitation (Chen et al., 2021).

Second, although blockchain use in supply chains is in the spotlight, limited empirical evidence on how it has contributed to direct wage transparency and equitable labor practices exist (LeBaron et al., 2020). Available evidence regarding the potential to incorporate decentralized systems in the framework of national labor governance institutions is scarce, especially in the settings where the enforcement of the regulations is weak.

Third, the ethical, regulatory, and socio-technical aspects of labor governance through using blockchain have not been adequately addressed (Jani, 2019). As an example, although immutable ledgers can help to decrease the likelihood of tampering with data, they also lead to questions about the right to be forgotten and data privacy of workers, as well as surveillance (Murray et al., 2021 Similarly, there is no international code of digital labour regulation across the world and this brings about uncertainty in terms of responsibility and liability to blockchain-based ecosystems (Tripathy et al., 2022).

Finally, it lacks a considerable gap of multi-stakeholder involvement. It is discovered that good labour governance must be a collective effort of governments, trade unions, employers, and technology providers (Kucera and Sari, 2019). However, the literature lacks elaborate frameworks that show how the said actors can collaborate in the blockchain-based wage transparency frameworks. These gaps highlight the reasons for having new approaches that can bring together technologies and labour rights models, particularly in models that reflect the aspirations of decent work in SDG 8.

3. Methodology

The study type adopted is a qualitative research method because it is carried out on a massive survey of the secondary data materials. This approach is particularly suitable to a theoretical conversation about the way blockchain can be applied to the wage disclosure issue and fair working conditions since the degree of empirical applications to the field is still quite infantile at the moment (Bryman, 2016). The study is not developed on the basis of primary data gathering, although, the results of the work in peer-reviewed journal articles, industry reports, policy documents and case studies are to be synthesised to provide a structured and critical opinion on the topic.

3.1 Research Design

The study method was a descriptive and exploratory study research design with the aim of analysing the role of blockchain in enhancing trust in wage transparency-based labour markets. The descriptive one will be an opportunity to document the existing problems in labour management and wage policy, and the exploratory will address the possibilities of blockchain to close the loopholes (Creswell and Creswell, 2018). This design will assist in ensuring that the study will be theory based as well as establishing practical opportunities and challenges.

3.2 Data Sources

The study is primarily based on the secondary research that includes:

- Journals related to the topic of blockchain, labour governance, and wage transparency and indexed by Scopus and Web of Science.
- Publicity in such international bodies as International Labour Organisation (ILO), World Bank and the United Nations Development

Programme (UNDP) providing information on international employment standards and problems.
- White papers within the industry and pilot projects which have documented blockchain-based wage disbursement programmes and supply chain transparency programmes (e.g. in the apparel and construction industries).
- Policy directions and regulatory reports explaining the labour governance standards, digital adoption issues, and ethics.

3.3 Analytical Framework

The analysis technique that will be applied is a thematic synthesis (Thomas and Harden, 2008). The major themes were found in the work including exploitation of labor, wage theft, ethical sourcing, data privacy, and barriers to digital adoption, which were coded and synthesized. The technical aspects of blockchain (immutability, decentralization, and smart contracts) were subsequently explored in relation to these themes in order to determine the extent to which they can be applied to wage transparency. Such a comparative analysis shows both the advantages and shortcomings of blockchain use in labor markets.

3.4 Scope and Limitations

The study focuses at the level of the whole world with specific reference to developing economies where the informal sector of the labor market is predominant and wage exploitation is common. Nevertheless, there are some constraints of using secondary data. Lacking primary fieldwork implies that the results are based on the previous evidence that is possibly context-specific and cannot be applied universally. Furthermore, use of blockchain in wage governance at large scale is still in pilot phase, and thus not well examined in terms of long-term empirical effects. Notwithstanding these constraints, the methodology offers a strong conceptual base to build future scholarship and guide future empirical studies.

4. Blockchain Applications in Wage Transparency and Labor Governance

Blockchain provides a programmable, evidencable substrate on which wage records, terms of employment, and compliance action can be stored and audited with little intermediation. The affordances most pertinent to the governance of labor include: (i) the immutability of wage and time entries; (ii) immutability of entitlements on smart contracts; (iii) verifiable digital identity and worker and firm credentials; (iv) multi-level supply chain traceability. The following affordances may be grouped into application clusters.

4.1 Smart-Contract Payroll and Automated Compliance

Smart contracts may program rules of pay, minimum wage, overtime factor, social-protection deductions, and penalties on late payments, and may only pay out when verifiable conditions are satisfied (e.g., approved timesheets, verified hours on site) (Kamel et al., 2023). In construction and project-based work, prototype systems show escrow-based payment freezing and release under a milestone verification, lowering disputes with contractors and cash-flow risk to workers (Wu et al.,2022).

In HR and public-sector settings, design-science applicants and audit reports have indicated reduced fraud (e.g., ghost workers), auditable salary-grade scales, and reduced reconciliation costs as payroll logic is transferred into permissioned ledgers. (Shahaab et al., 2023)

Although open-source blockchains offer transparency, permissioned networks (e.g., Hyperledger Fabric) are more favored in most payroll implementations to meet data-protection, throughput, and access-control needs common to employment data.

4.2 Immutable Wage Records and Right-To-Audit

Tamper-evident pay histories are possible with immutable ledgers. In this way, pay slips with hash-anchored (off-chain stored) values can be checked by auditors without exposing personal data (on-chain referenced). Smart contracts may further store "proof-of-work accomplished" based on signed shift confirmations, IoT gates, or authorized biometric/timeclock events, further narrowing the chain of evidence applied in wage-theft investigations (Jani, 2018). The systematic reviews of sustainable supply chains highlight the importance of blockchain in the enhancement of data integrity and auditability beyond organizational boundaries-features which can be simply applied to wage governance (Sahoo et al., 2022).

Economic Sciences
https://economic-sciences.com
ES (2025) 21(5S), 172-183| ISSN:1505-4683

4.3 Worker Identity, Portable Entitlements, and Verifiable Credentials

Decentralized Identifiers (DIDs) and Verifiable Credentials (VCs) enable workers to carry cryptographically signed attestations- skills, training (e.g. and OSH certificates), employment history or even resolved grievances- issued by trusted institutions (unions, training institutes, brands). Proofs of selective disclosure enable a worker to disclose only what is required (e.g., "valid safety card") and keep other properties secret. Some of the existing surveys map security properties, implementations, and regulatory linkages to give roadmaps to be used by labor markets (ILO, 2025).

4.4 Wage-Assurance in Global Supply Chains

The practices of subcontracting that are related to underpayment and long hours are often veiled by multi-tier supply chain. When traceability systems are extended beyond product events to social-compliance events and wage events, the purchase orders can be pegged to wage-payment states (e.g., PO X not payable until workers on line Y have been paid contract wage). Recent reviews capture the reinforcement of transparency and supplier confidence by blockchain; labor-event tokens may be integrated in those designs to adjust payment flows to fair-wage checks at tier-2/3 (Sola et al., 2025).

At a policy level, literature on sustainable supply chains places blockchain as a complementary governance mechanism- not a replacement of labor inspection- by enhancing data faithfulness and diminishing information asymmetry among buyers, suppliers and auditors (Saberi et al., 2019).

New research also examines how blockchain can prevent forced labor by creating tracks of immutability and self-reported indicators by workers, but warns against excesses without concomitant remediation and due-diligence procedures (Jani, 2018).

4.5 Public Procurement and Large Works: Guarding Against Arrears

Blockchain has been tested in relation to public works with wage arrears, to enhance the state control over contractor payment and provide real-time monitoring to the regulators. The government information systems experience with design-science cases demonstrates how permissioned ledger can simplify the flow of inter-agency information, and anchor compliance events, which can be extended to wage-assurance in infrastructure programs (Shahaab et al., 2023).

An example of city-level wage-transparency tooling at scale is a prominent municipal implementation in China (Chengdu's Xin Zhu app), which leverages blockchain-secured records, timekeeping, and encrypted payroll information to secure migrant workers (HRM Asia, 2021).

4.6 Platform/Gig Work: Transparent Algorithms and Instant Settlement

In the case of platform work, smart contracts can (i) make dynamic pricing and commission policies auditable (ii) make earnings floors, and (iii) allow settlement to worker wallets nearly instantaneously with programmable tax/benefit distributions. These characteristics act as a complement to institutional strategies (e.g. collective bargaining in platform economies), that offer joint, verifiable state information regarding pay rules and payouts (ILO,2023).

4.7 Interfacing with the broader shift to digital wages

ILO Global Centre on Digital Wages and associated studies indicate a rapid shift toward digital wage payments and cash, but continue to point to residual barriers (age, digital literacy, documentation and trust), particularly in manufacturing supply chains. Pilots of blockchain wage-transparency must thus be built into, rather than substituting, mainstream revenue-digital-rails, which handle last-mile inclusion and responsible data practices (ILO,2025).

4.8 Architecture, Privacy, and Interoperability Considerations

Role-based channels on permissioned ledgers (e.g. Fabric, Quorum) enable firms, unions and regulators to have access only to what they are authorized to view; off-chain storage with on-chain hashes limits exposure of their personal-data, and zero-knowledge proofs or selective-disclosure VCs reduces leakage of sensitive properties without compromising verifiability. New technologies State-of-the-art overviews of smart contracts focus on scalability, formal verification, and legal enforceability as

essential to support payroll and wage-assurance applications (Treiblmaier et. al., 2021).

5. Case Studies / Pilot Projects

The adoption of blockchain in the labour sector has already begun to surface in the experimental projects and focused studies in different sectors. Those attempts provide valuable insights into the practical viability, challenges and possibilities of blockchain to strengthen wage transparency, ethical labour practises and decent work standards. The following subsections observe some examples of international supply chains in use, low-income and migrant labour environments, and gig economy platforms.

5.1 International Supply Chains (Garment/Textile Industry, Agriculture)

There is also the tendency of using labour force, robbing of wages, and putting workers at risk of life and health in global supply chains particularly in the garment, textile, and agricultural sector. Blockchain has emerged as a tool of improving traceability and fair working practises.

An example is Provenance, a UK blockchain start-up that has implemented transparency initiatives in fashion sectors to track the journey of garments through the supply chain of sourcing raw materials to their finished goods. It provided consumers with access to verifiable data on the supply chain process, including the origin and production of goods, wage and working condition reports by incorporating blockchain records into the supply chain operations (Saberi et al., 2019). Equally, the Everledger, which was originally applied in diamond tracing, has been applied in supply chains where blockchain is used to facilitate ethical sourcing, such as in agriculture, including cocoa and coffee (Kouhizadeh and Sarkis, 2018).

Applications in agriculture include FairChain Foundation and Bext360 blockchain-based platforms, which have enabled coffee producers in developing nations to store transaction, wage payment, and certification claims permanently on distributed ledgers (Gupta et. al., 2025). These programs limit the space of wage suppression and raise accountability in areas where informality and exploitation are the order of the day.

5.2 Low-Income Countries or Migrant Labor Contexts

The exploitation of labour is especially crucial in the low-income economies and especially among migrant workers, when weak institutional checks and absence of transparency leave workers vulnerable to harsh wage fraud. The payroll systems have also been piloted with blockchain in this space to overcome such vulnerabilities.

As an example, the International Labour Organization (ILO) has endorsed blockchain payroll experiments in Southeast Asia in order to address wage theft in construction and domestic labor (ILO, 2021). The wages of workers were kept in a decentralized registry and were tied to mobile wallets, which prevented the arbitrary removal of earnings by employers and the payment is made on time.

Pilot blockchain applications have been launched to connect work agreements, wage payments and remittances to one verifiable platform in the Gulf region, where migrant employees frequently experience unpaid wages and exploitative recruitment charges. The Ministry of Human Resources and Emiratisation in the UAE has also apparently experimented with blockchain-protected wage protection measures ensuring auditable payroll information to the regulator and protecting the rights of workers (Al-Qudah and Bosaid, 2022).

Blockchain implementation is another pilot project BanQu that has been implemented in Africa and Asia to offer digital economic identities to marginalized workers, such as smallholder farmers and refugees (Hofmann et al., 2020). Through the connection of wages, transaction data, and employment agreements to verifiable digital identities, BankQu will enhance access to financial services, credit, and protections of labor rights with the help of blockchain technology.

5.3 Gig Economy Platforms Experimenting with Blockchain Payroll

Gig economy, typified by ride-hailing, food delivery, and freelance apps, is under a lot of criticism due to the lack of visibility in wage calculations, unstable payments, and low worker protections (Raghuwanshi, 2024). Payroll systems that are backed up by blockchain are under development to regain the confidence between platforms and employees.

Another pilot worth considering is Human Protocol that uses blockchain smart contracts to automatically pay micro-tasks involving data labeling and content moderation. Upon task verification, the payment is instantly made in digital tokens to make sure workers are paid equally and do not rely on centralized systems (Wang et al., 2022).

Startups such as HumanIQ and Ethlance have tested blockchain to offer freelancers and gig workers peer-to-peer payment platforms that do not require any intermediaries (De Filippi and Wright, 2018). Chronobank has also launched a platform (blockchain-based) to incentivize gig workers which tokenizes work hours, allowing to calculate wages transparently and pay them immediately without the involvement of centralized payment processors.

In addition, the activity of pilot programs by Uber-like decentralized platforms (e.g., La'Zooz) shows that blockchain can be introduced into the ride-sharing ecosystem to distribute payments fairly and transparently and, at the same time, minimize transaction costs. The other example is the Etch platform in the UK that tested blockchain-based payroll to construction workers. Payments were made in real-time as the workers received their wages directly in their account depending on the hours they worked, which were validated through blockchain entries. Despite the scaling issues of the project, it showed that it was possible to have a streamlined and transparent payroll mechanism when it comes to gig and contract-based workers (Kshetri, 2021).

According to these pilots, blockchain can help to substantially decrease wage uncertainty in gig work by incorporating wage contracts into smart contracts and letting them automatically execute (Singh et. al., 2022). These solutions are effective at reducing conflicts besides enhancing the degree of trust between platforms and workers.

Such case studies may indicate that the usability of blockchain is not applied by theory alone but that it can be experimented during a practical experiment of diversified labour settings. Unlike international supply chains, which are concerned with ethical sourcing; migrant worker environments are concerned with wage protection, and gig economy enables platform experiments to explore transparent payrolls (Asati et. al., 2024). Among the prevalent themes, one can distinguish the reduction in information asymmetry, the security of the rights of workers, and the empowerment of the belief in the labour markets. However, these pilots also suggest the presence of barriers, such as digital literacy lapses, i.e. the high cost of implementation, and non-standardized governance structures.

6. Challenges and Barriers to Adoption

As it is well known, the wage transparency through blockchain can alter the labour market fundamentally; however, the numerous technical, regulatory, social, and ethical challenges that can slow down its application into the labour market will have to be addressed critically to provide scalability and sustainability. The section deals with the barriers to adoption in four dimensions.

6.1 Technical Challenges

The infrastructure of blockchains needs to have a strong digital ecosystem, which may not be equally distributed throughout the regions. The fact that certain blockchain protocols require high energy consumption, lack of interoperability between platforms, and scalability to manage millions of micro-transactions are still problems to contend with (Tapscott and Tapscott, 2020). In less-digitized labor markets, which include rural or informal economies, adoption of blockchain-based payroll is limited by poor internet connectivity, the absence of access to digital devices, and low-digital-literacy levels (Narula, 2021). Moreover, the correctness of data entries (i.e. the absence of fraudulent information at the entry point) remains one of the central technical issues since the immutability of blockchain might unintentionally keep the errors or biased information intact (Huckle and White, 2016).

6.2 Regulatory and Legal Barriers

Wage transparency through blockchain deployment brings critical legal and regulatory concerns especially when it comes to harmonization of labor laws, data privacy, and cross border employment criteria. The laws of wage disclosure, employment contracts, and privacy differ across jurisdictions, and it is challenging to come up with an international framework of blockchain labor regulation (De Stefano & Aloisi, 2019). Besides, the regulatory oversight is made more difficult by the questions of jurisdiction in decentralized networks, where the

nodes can be distributed worldwide. The other legal issue is related to the privacy and confidentiality of wage information: although transparency is paramount, it can result in unintended adverse outcomes because of over-disclosure, impingement on the privacy rights of workers, and wage discrimination or stigmatization (Moore and Taylor, 2020).

6.3 Socio-Economic and Ethical Concerns

Despite the promise of empowering workers, the use of blockchain is predetermined by socio-economic disparities. Low-income regions or the informal sector also might not have access to the digital tools needed to engage with blockchain ecosystems, which may further increase the digital divide (Chen and Xie, 2021). In addition, labor unions and advocacy groups have also been concerned that the automation of smart contracts will render workers powerless as human negotiation will be replaced by algorithmic governance, which may not be fully able to reflect the complexity of employment relations (Verma et. al, 2024). Ethical issues of surveillance and data ownership are also present: when employers have control over the blockchain-based systems, there are concerns that workers will be deprived of control over their employment information and fear that it can be abused in performance evaluations and in hiring choices.

6.4 Institutional and Cultural Resistance

The shift to blockchain in wage transparency implies the necessity to make radical changes to the organizational culture and systems of governance. The unwillingness of the employers to implement wage transparency can be explained by the fear of high labor expenses, union pressure, or the need to protect the reputation in case of historical underpayment (Berg, 2016). Equally, authorities of certain states might be reluctant to embrace blockchain wage governance due to the infringement of status quo bureaucratic regimes and the possibility of undermining the systemic inefficiencies or corruption in the labor administration. Moreover, cultural practices in some communities might not support disclosure of wages freely and therefore blockchain-based disclosure is socially disputed (Friedman, 2021 Scepticism of the stakeholders and building of trust will make sure that the implementation is solely carried out on pilot projects and not a total systemic implementation.

The barriers to blockchain in labour governance may be deemed as multi-layered and interdependent. Technical constraints hinder scalability, regulatory uncertainty makes compliance complex, socio-economic disparity threatens to leave out people, and institutional resistance makes the process slow down. These issues should be fulfilled by means of multi-stakeholder involvement of the governments, international organisations, technology providers, and labour unions. Only a keen design, non-discriminative policy frameworks and powerful ethical guidelines can make the blockchain realise its potentials of establishing trust and accountability in the labour markets.

7. Policy Recommendations and Strategic Roadmap

In order to effectively implement blockchain in the labour governance framework, there must be a multi-pronged policy framework whereby the innovation and regulation is balanced, the workers are safeguarded and the system is implemented systematically across the industries. Because of the possible transformative quality of blockchain in enhancing wage visibility, safeguarding labour civil liberties, and preventing the exploitation, policy formulation must attempt to not only assist in enabling conditions but also to address ethical, legislative, and infrastructural problems.

7.1 Establishing Legal and Regulatory Frameworks

The governments ought to establish certain rules of blockchain application in labour force. These should include a list of rules concerning the data security, validation of the electronic identities, and the systems of overcoming the conflicts, particularly in the areas of cross-border jobs and transnational supply chains. The legislators should ensure that contracting with the help of blockchain is legally-binding and acceptable, which would be the foundation of wage transparency and fair employment practises (Davidson et al., 2018). Regulatory frameworks should also be harmonised using international labour laws with an aim of reducing inter-jurisdictional problems in multi-country employment arrangement.

7.2 Ensuring Worker-Centric Design

The blockchain solutions will have the priority of worker empowerment. Governments, labour unions and technology providers should collaborate in developing platforms which are inclusive and multilingual in addition to those that can be accessed by low-skilled or digitally marginalized workers (Asati et. al., 2024). The problems of low-income and rural locations can be embraced by implementing mobile-friendly interfaces and an easier method of verification to recruit people into the board (Kshetri, 2021). A policy that workers co-own blockchain-based labor records or payroll should prevent monopolization of data by the employer.

7.3 Building Multi-Stakeholder Collaborations

Strategic roadmap also demands effective collaboration among governments, international (e.g., ILO, World Bank) and non-governmental organization, trade unions and business enterprises. These partnerships will make it possible to conduct pilot projects, exchange expertise, and scale up effective blockchain solutions. Among the applications of public-private alliances, the funding of blockchain infrastructures and their sustainable implementation in the various sectors (textile, agriculture, gig work on platforms, and many others) may be especially effective (Tapscott and Tapscott, 2019).

7.4 Incentivizing Ethical Adoption

Governments can promote the use of blockchain by giving fiscal incentives in the form of tax subsidies or subsidies to firms that use blockchain to practice transparent wage systems. Meanwhile, the procurement policies may focus on sourcing out to organizations that employ blockchain-based labor accountability system. This would give the ethical firms competitive advantages and compel exploitative employers to change (Saberi et al., 2019).

7.5 Strengthening Digital Literacy and Capacity Building

Absence of digital literacy amongst small business and employees is a significant hindrance to integration of blockchain in the management of labour. The national labour policies should be involved in the training of the workers to run digital wallets, smart contracts, and blockchain verification devices and structured as capacity-building programmes. Cooperation with non-governmental organisations and community-based ones might also expand outreach, particularly in economies, which are rural or migrant-heavy (Kshetri and Voas, 2019).

7.6 Addressing Ethical and Data Privacy Concerns

The precautions should be also introduced in the policies to avoid the chance of blockchain systems being abused. Even though immutability and accountability go hand in hand, it is likely to compromise the privacy of the workers in which confidential personal information is permanently printed on the ledgers distributed. The policies therefore will need to enforce anonymization, authorised access and compliance with the international data protection laws such as GDPR (Finck, 2018). Transparency and privacy should maintain a balance to assist in the creation of trust in the stakeholders.

7.7 Long-Term Strategic Roadmap

The blockchain strategic plan, on labour governance, can be structured in three phases:

1. Short term (1-3 years): Conduct pilot projects in the most problematic spheres of work, establish general regulatory trends and fund campaigns.
2. Medium-term (3-7 years): scale successful pilots, standardise blockchain applications by industry and wage disclosures to models of labour inspection.
3. Long-term (7-15 years): The standardisation of blockchain technologies on international labour regulation, supported by international treaties and intergovernmental coordination of efforts to realise equitable and sustainable international labour markets.
4. By popularising these recommendations, policymakers will get a chance to ensure that blockchain technology does not remain at the experimentation phase of its application and becomes an effective instrument of building fair, transparent, and people-centred structures of labour.

8. Conclusion

The blockchain technology has emerged to be a radical tool that can transform the idea of

transparency, accountability, and fairness in the labour markets. This article has demonstrated that blockchain records are irrevocable that with the capacity to be verified by a decentralised system and automatically executed with the help of smart contracts makes it a unique tool to address the problems of wage theft, labour abuse, and concealed employment processes. By giving a possibility to observe the integrity of payroll and the compliance of the contract in real time, blockchain provides employees with a verifiable demonstration of their rights, nevertheless, enhancing credibility and trustworthiness of the employers.

The analysis of global supply chains, low-income nation environments and the gig economy has shown that wage transparency through blockchain is no longer a concept, but is already being implemented to pilot projects. These illustrations indicate that ethical sourcing, enhancing labour standards, and enhancing integration are some of the ways in which blockchain can be applied to the requirements of the Sustainable Development Goal 8 that requires decent work and inclusive development. It is worth noting that the shortage of trust between the employer and the employees as well as the unions, the regulators and the consumers can be solved by offering blockchain solutions where the aspect of fairness and accountability would be integrated as part of technological systems of record keeping and transactions.

However, this vision has certain associated challenges. Mass adoption is faced with significant barriers such as scalability problem, digital literacy, data privacy and alignment with regulations. The application of blockchain in the systems of labour regulation must therefore be accompanied by the multi-stakeholder collaboration that involves governments, actors in industries, civil society and technology developers. Such partnerships are necessary to ensure equity of access, create robust regulatory safeguards and create worker-friendly blockchain app that will not serve to promote inequalities.

The opportunity of blockchain in the long run is that it would restructure the social contract of work. With the transparency and impossibility to manipulate wage practises established, blockchain technologies may be deployed to make a more ethical labour market, where fairness depends not on the implementation of the policy, but on the technological ontology of the policy itself. The future is envisioned as the labour systems in which the workers are protected, the employers are encouraged to exercise ethical conducts and a more accommodative and sustainable economic growth in the societies. Lastly, wage transparency as blockchain is not the technological transformation, but rather the shift to justice, trust, and accountability in the global practice of labour. Since the governments and organisations have already made a step in this potential, there is a chance of a drastic change in the governance and valuation of work in the 21st century.

References

1. Ahmed, W. A. H., et al. (2023). Blockchain-enabled supply chain traceability—How wide? How deep? International Journal of Production Economics, 256, 108794.
2. Al-Qudah, A., & Bosaid, M. (2022). Blockchain adoption in the Middle East: Opportunities for migrant labor protection. Journal of Business and Social Policy, 29(3), 45–63.
3. Asati, P., Raghuwanshi, S., Hasan, A., & Zeffer, A. (2024). The role of electric vehicles in the agriculture industry using IoT: turning electricity into food. Digital Agricultural Ecosystem: Revolutionary Advancements in Agriculture, 369-379.
4. Asati, P., Raghuwanshi, S., Vashisht, A., Muley, D., & Khare, V. K. (2024). Exploring the green energy: factors influencing battery electric vehicles adoption in Madhya Pradesh Region. International Review of Management and Marketing, 14(5), 151.
5. Bai, J., Gallen, Y., & Li, J. (2021). Wage transparency and labor market outcomes. Journal of Labor Economics, 39(2), 259–301.
6. Barrientos, S. (2019). Gender and work in global value chains: Capturing the gains? Cambridge University Press.
7. Bello, M. I., & Thomas, O. (2022). Potentials of blockchain technology for payroll systems. University of Strathclyde. (Preprint).
8. Bennedsen, M., Simintzi, E., Tsoutsoura, M., & Wolfenzon, D. (2022). Do firms respond to gender pay gap transparency? Quarterly Journal of Economics, 137(1), 173–226.
9. Berg, J. (2016). Income security in the on-demand economy: Findings and policy lessons from a survey of crowdworkers. Comparative Labor Law & Policy Journal, 37(3), 543–576.
10. Bhorat, H., Kanbur, R., & Stanwix, B. (2022). Informality, worker vulnerability, and labor

regulation in developing countries. World Development, 151, 105761.
11. Bryman, A. (2016). Social Research Methods. Oxford University Press.
12. Chen, L., & Xie, Y. (2021). Digital divide and labor market outcomes in developing economies. Journal of Development Studies, 57(10), 1732–1749.
13. Chen, M. A., Carré, F., & Skinner, C. (2021). Informal workers and the challenges of COVID-19. International Labour Review, 160(1), 25–44.
14. Cheong, B. C., et al. (2025). Leveraging blockchain for enhanced transparency and traceability in sustainable supply chains. Circular Economy and Sustainability. https://doi.org/10.1007/s44257-025-00032-7
15. Creswell, J. W., & Creswell, J. D. (2018). Research Design: Qualitative, Quantitative, and Mixed Methods Approaches. Sage Publications.
16. Davidson, S., De Filippi, P., & Potts, J. (2018). Blockchains and the economic institutions of capitalism. *Journal of Institutional Economics, 14*(4), 639–658.
17. De Filippi, P., & Wright, A. (2018). Blockchain and the Law: The Rule of Code. Harvard University Press.
18. De Stefano, V., & Aloisi, A. (2019). Fundamental labor rights, platform work, and digital technologies. International Labour Review, 158(1), 1–28.
19. Estlund, C. (2019). Utopian communities of work. Columbia Law Review, 119(7), 1807–1843.
20. Finck, M. (2018). Blockchains and data protection in the European Union. *European Data Protection Law Review, 4*(1), 17–35.
21. Friedman, G. (2021). Wage transparency and cultural barriers in global employment relations. Labour Studies Journal, 46(2), 134–152.
22. Ghosh, A., Gupta, S., Singhal, R., Miryala, S., Kalluri, N., & Garg, M. (2025). Blockchain for supply chain human resource transparency. Academy of Marketing Studies Journal, 29(S3), 1–13.
23. Gupta, A., Verma, A., Shah, T., Dubey, K., Raghuwanshi, S., Jani, S. (2025) Blockchain-Enabled Digital Twins for Secure and Transparent Health Data Management. ES 2025, 21 (03(S) September), 206-222. https://doi.org/10.69889/2wyxmw53.
24. Hofmann, E., Strewe, U. M., & Bosia, N. (2020). Supply chain finance and blockchain technology: The case of reverse securitisation. Springer.
25. HRM Asia (2021). Chengdu An Xin Zhu wage-transparency app: Ledger Insights. https://hrmasia.com/chinese-city-turns-to-blockchain-for-worker payments/?utm_source=chatgpt.com
26. Huckle, S., & White, M. (2016). Fintech and blockchain: Understanding the challenges of adoption. Journal of Digital Banking, 1(2), 122–132.
27. ILO (2025). Collective bargaining and collective action in the platform economy (Working Paper No. 143).
28. ILO. (2021). World Employment and Social Outlook: The role of digital labor platforms in transforming the world of work. International Labour Office.
29. International Labour Organization (2023). Promoting responsible digital wage payments (Brief).
30. International Labour Organization (2025). Barriers to the full digitization of wage payments in Chinese supply chains—A rapid assessment. Global Centre on Digital Wages.
31. International Labour Organization (ILO). (2021). Blockchain and worker rights: Opportunities and challenges. Geneva: ILO.
32. International Labour Organization (ILO). (2021). Decent Work and Digital Wages: Exploring Blockchain Applications. Geneva: ILO.
33. Jani, Shailak. (2020). Smart Contracts: Building Blocks for Digital Transformation. 10.13140/RG.2.2.33316.83847.
34. Jani, Shailak. (2021). Introduction to LaxmiCoin: A Digital Fiat Currency (DFC) for India & Beyond with an overview of its Benefits, Implications, & Challenges. 10.13140/RG.2.2.34744.26880.
35. Jani, Shailak. (2019). The Emergence of Blockchain Technology & its Adoption in India. 10.13140/RG.2.2.30997.58087.
36. Jani, Shailak. (2018). The Growth of Cryptocurrency in India: Its Challenges & Potential Impacts on Legislation. 10.13140/RG.2.2.14220.36486.
37. Jani, Shailak. (2018). An Overview of Ethereum & Its Comparison with Bitcoin.
38. Jani, Shailak. (2018). An Overview of Ripple Technology & its Comparison with Bitcoin Technology.
39. Kamel, M. A., et al. (2023). A framework for smart construction contracts using BIM and blockchain for automated payment. Alexandria Engineering Journal, 72, 549–564.
40. Karaduman, Ö., et al. (2025). Blockchain-Enabled Supply Chain Management: A Review. Applied Sciences, 15(9), 5168.
41. Kouhizadeh, M., & Sarkis, J. (2018). Blockchain practices, potentials, and perspectives in

sustainable supply chains. Sustainability, 10(10), 3652.
42. Kshetri, N. (2021). Blockchain and labor markets: Implications for workers and employers. Journal of Global Information Technology Management, 24(2), 87–101.
43. Kshetri, N., & Voas, J. (2019). Blockchain-enabled e-voting. *IEEE Software, 36*(4), 95–99.
44. Kucera, D., & Sari, D. (2019). Explaining compliance with labor standards in developing countries. International Labour Review, 158(1), 33–62.
45. Lakshmi, S. M. (2025). Blockchain technology for transparent wage payments in freelance economy. Journal of Research and Review in Human Resource Management & Labour Studies.
46. LeBaron, G., Lister, J., & Dauvergne, P. (2020). Governing global supply chain sustainability through the ethical audit regime. Globalizations, 17(1), 93–109.
47. Meijerink, J., & Keegan, A. (2019). Conceptualizing human resource management in the gig economy. Journal of Managerial Psychology, 34(4), 214–232.
48. Moore, P., & Taylor, C. (2020). Privacy, power, and wage data transparency. New Technology, Work and Employment, 35(1), 42–59.
49. Murray, A., Kuban, S., & Saberi, S. (2021). Blockchain for social impact: Opportunities and challenges. Technological Forecasting and Social Change, 170, 120870.
50. Narula, R. (2021). Emerging technologies and their impact on informal labor markets. World Development, 145, 105528.
51. Raghuwanshi, S. (2024). Self help groups members' religious perception and their relationship between financial literacy and repayment intention towards loan: Considerable focus on Himachal Pradesh (Hp). International Journal, 5(1), 380-390.
52. Rejeb, A., Rejeb, K., & Keogh, J. G. (2021). Blockchain technology in agriculture: Applications and challenges. Logistics, 5(3), 41.
53. Saberi, S., Kouhizadeh, M., Sarkis, J., & Shen, L. (2019). Blockchain technology and its relationships to sustainable supply chain management. *International Journal of Production Research, 57*(7), 2117–2135.
54. Sahoo, S., et al. (2022). Blockchain for sustainable supply chain management: A review. Electronic Commerce Research, 22, 1–35.
55. Seebacher, S., & Schüritz, R. (2019). Definition and capabilities of blockchain: immutable ledgers and smart contracts. Logistics, MDPI.
56. Shahaab, A., et al. (2023). Public service operational efficiency and blockchain: An action design science study. Government Information Quarterly, 40(4), 101848.
57. Singh, A. K., Raghuwanshi, S., Yadav, A., Hasan, A., Sikarwar, P., Mishra, A., & Singhal, A. (2024). The Effects of Religion on Indian Buying Behavior: A Conceptual Framework and Research Agenda. International Journal of Religion, 5(2), 14-22.
58. Tapscott, D., & Tapscott, A. (2020). Blockchain Revolution: How the Technology Behind Bitcoin is Changing Money, Business, and the World. Penguin.
59. Thomas, J., & Harden, A. (2008). Methods for the thematic synthesis of qualitative research in systematic reviews. BMC Medical Research Methodology, 8(1), 45. https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2288-8-45
60. Treiblmaier, H., & Sillaber, C. (2021). The impact of blockchain on e-commerce: A framework for salient research topics. Electronic Commerce Research and Applications, 48, 101054.
61. Tripathy, S., Mallik, D., & Mitra, S. (2022). Blockchain adoption for supply chain management: A review of barriers and enablers. Journal of Enterprise Information Management, 35(5), 1187–1212.
62. Tseng, C.-H., et al. (2025). Blockchain-based labor dispatch system. Journal of King Saud University—Computer and Information Sciences.
63. Verma, P., Raghuwanshi, S., Mishra, E., Mishra, A., & Khare, V. K. (2024). How Do the Socio-economic Characteristics of Individual Members Influence the Business Effectiveness and Performance of Self-help Groups with Concerning Sustainable Development Goals: An SEM Approach. International Journal of Economics and Financial Issues, 14(6), 121.
64. Wang, J., Chen, L., & Xu, Y. (2022). Blockchain-based wage payment for gig economy platforms. Information Systems Frontiers, 24(4), 1025–1042.
65. Wu, L., et al. (2022). Blockchain-based smart payment scheme in construction. Frontiers of Engineering Management, 9(4), 651–666.
66. Yazıcılar Sola, F. G., & Güzel, D. (2025). The role of supply chain transparency and supplier trust in the impact of blockchain adoption on new product development. Sustainability, 17(11), 5171.
67. Zhao, X., et al. (2025). Exploring the potential of blockchain to combat forced labor in global supply chains. Journal preprint.