Economic Sciences
https://economic-sciences.com
ES (2025) 21(3S), 206-222| ISSN:1505-4683

Blockchain-Enabled Digital Twins for Secure and Transparent Health Data Management

Abhimanyu Gupta[1], Ashutosh Verma[2], Tejal Shah[3], Kritagya Dubey[4], Sandeep Raghuwanshi[5], Shailak Jani[6]

[1]Assistant Professor, Parul Institute of Management and Research, Parul University, Vadodara, Gujarat, India
Email ID: ecoabhimanyugupta@gmail.com ORCID ID: 0009-0006-9910-7749
[2]Associate Professor, Parul Institute of Management and Research, Parul University, Vadodara, Gujarat, India
Email ID: ashutoshverma002@gmail.com ORCID ID :0000-0003-0926-2747
[3]Assistant Professor, Parul Institute of Management and Research, Parul University, Vadodara, Gujarat, India
Email ID: tejal.shah@paruluniversity.ac.in
[4]Assistant Professor, Parul Institute of Business Administration, Parul University, Vadodara, Gujarat, India
Email Id: dkritagya1@gmail.com@gmail.com ORCID ID: 0009-0008-5500-8487
[5]Associate Professor, Parul Institute of Management and Research, Parul University, Vadodara, Gujarat, India
Email ID: san.7608@gmail.com ORCID ID: 0000-0003-1935-6851
[6]Assistant Professor, Parul Institute of Management and Research, Parul University, Vadodara, Gujarat, India
Email ID: 93janisra@gmail.com ORCID ID: 0000-0002-7270-7916

Abstract:
The potential to incorporate digital twins in the healthcare sector promises unforeseen prospects of real-time tracking, individual care, and prediction. Nevertheless, such advantages contribute to serious problems associated with data security, privacy, integrity, and transparency because of the nature of healthcare data. This article discusses how blockchain technology can be used as an enabler infrastructure to overcome these issues as part of the digital twin-based healthcare facilities. Using decentralized, immutable, transparent ledger capabilities of blockchain, healthcare providers can also guarantee data protection between the stakeholders involved, along with patient privacy and the adherence to regulations like HIPAA and GDPR. The article explains the major frameworks in which consent management, access control, and audit trails are automated through smart contracts hence increasing trust and governance in digital health ecosystems. The work also illustrates real-life applications, industry implementations, and future proposed frameworks on how blockchain and digital twin model can be integrated in providing highly secure, scalable, and interoperable solutions in the healthcare sector. This article provides an examination of the technical obstacles, adoption concerns, and regulatory issues surrounding the coming together of these emerging technologies, and provides policymakers, healthcare organizations and technology developers with actionable information.

Keywords: *Blockchain, Digital Twins, Healthcare Data Security, Privacy, Smart Contracts, Interoperability, Data Governance, Predictive Analytics, Healthcare Compliance, Health Informatics.*

1. Introduction

Overview of Digital Twins in Healthcare

The development of the digital twin technology is a major breakthrough in the sector of healthcare, which can bring revolutionary changes in the way patients are cared about, decisions in the sector of medicine can be made, and the organization of the system of healthcare is optimized. The concept of a digital twin in healthcare is conceptualized by the generation of a dynamic, digital reprogrammable version of a patient or a healthcare system that is constantly updated with real-time physiological, clinical, and environmental information (Jones et al., 2023). Digital twins can help healthcare professionals by offering them a continually updated model that represents the current and expected health state of a patient according to the information available: electronic health records (EHRs), wearable devices, imaging systems, and tests results in laboratories (Khan & Kim, 2021). This allows the testing of the different variations of treatment scenarios and predictive diagnostics, and development of individual medical interventions on the basis of the needs of individual patients (Zhou et al., 2022).

Digital twins also allow proactive healthcare, as it will be possible to promptly detect possible health risks, constantly track the progress of chronic illnesses, and adjust the method of treatment to receive real-time input (Schroeder et al., 2023). Their importance in the field of precision medicine lies in the fact they allow taking account of the individual genetic, lifestyle, and environmental specifications of every patient. Moreover, patients and hospitals can use digital twins at the system level to optimize hospital activities and the distribution of resources as well as plan emergency reliefs (Ahmed et al., 2021). Following the tendency toward patient-centered, data-driven healthcare systems, digital twins take the lead in changing the area.

The Need for Secure and Transparent Health Data Management

Although there are so many benefits of digital twins, this widespread use of this innovation poses serious problems especially when it comes to handling sensitive healthcare data. Health data is rather confidential and personal by nature, and thus, it could be an appealing victim of cyber threats and unpermitted access (Patel et al., 2020). In addition, the flow of constant data that is necessary in the maintenance of effective digital twin models increases the vulnerability of data breaches and the theft of identity, along with information abuse on patients (Singh et al., 2023).

The healthcare systems being interoperable further complicates the data security because the particular information frequently travels among and across platforms, institutions, and jurisdictions. Laws that may exist in various countries include the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act (HIPAA) in the US and General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR) in Europe that have strict requirements to what can be done with personal health information (PHI) (Martin et al., 2023). The long-term viability of the application of digital twin technology in healthcare should be established with the prerequisite of data integrity, privacy safeguarding, and transparency to the data handling practice.

Besides, patient and health care professionals issue their trust in the security, ethical and transparent handling of health information. A strong data governance system can sometimes prove to be more important than the advantages of digital twins because it is posing a threat of data abuse and the loss of patient trust in digital health tools (Zhang et al., 2023).

Role of Blockchain Technology

The blockchain technology can provide an effective solution to most data security and transparency issues that relate to the digital twins in healthcare. Being a decentralized distributed ledger system, blockchain requests that transactions involving health data must be recorded in a readily-conspicuous and perpetual fashion throughout a system of nodes (Nakamoto, 2008; Wang et al., 2021). With this decentralized system, a central authority is not required, which bodes well as far as single points of failure are concerned and data resilience to cyberattacks (Ali et al., 2022).

Intelligent contracts, which are a part of a blockchain platform, would allow automatically executing data access agreements that are regulated by preprogrammed standards and would guarantee both patient consent and a written document of a patient consent to a data transaction (Lee et al., 2023). The mechanism enhances the patient autonomy and makes people choose who has the right to access and use their health information.

Furthermore, the auditability of blockchain gives registry to every data transaction with regard to accountability and regulatory compliance (Abeywardena et al., 2023). Within its cryptographic security framework, it offers protection of data confidentiality and integrity, which makes it an excellent choice in a succession of handling the complicated data ecosystems that constitute the digital twin healthcare models. Combining blockchain technology with digital twin to bridge gaps in healthcare frameworks will enable a healthcare system that is safe and transparent and can tackle the issue of technology and ethical matters in the contemporary healthcare industry.

2. Understanding Digital Twins in Healthcare

Definition and Components of Digital Twins

Digital twins have originated in the manufacturing and industrial areas, and today, they transform the healthcare field. Simply speaking, a digital twin is a virtual dynamic replica of a real thing, system, or process running around the clock with its virtual realities being constantly padded by data feeds that originate in different places (Fuller et al., 2020). The physical entity in healthcare is a human body or one of its subsystems, whereas its computational model is called a digital twin, which facilitates the continuous monitoring, simulation, and predictive analysis of a physical entity (Liu et al., 2021).

The core components of a healthcare digital twin typically include:

- **Data Acquisition Layer:** Receives real-time data at patient, wearable sensors, medical imaging, laboratory tests, electronic health records (EHRs), genomics and patient-reported outcomes (Batty et al., 2021).
- **Integration and Interoperability Layer:** Allows the smooth combination of various types of data and sources in standardized data format and APIs (Chen et al., 2022).
- **Modeling and Simulation Engine:** Uses artificial intelligence (AI), machine learning (ML), and physics-based modeling towards creating simulating physiological functions, disease progression, and treatment responses (Ibarra-Esquer et al., 2021).
- **Analytics and Decision Support Layer:** Offers prediction analysis, risk analysis, and individual-specific clinical decision assistance founded on simulation deliverables (Wang et al., 2023).
- **Visualization and User Interface Layer:** Allows healthcare providers and patients to communicate with and understand the digital twin via user friendly dashboard and visualization (Tresp et al., 2020).
- **Security and Governance Layer:** Assures privacy, integrity, and confidentiality of data and abidance with legal regulations and ethics (Shah & Jani, 2018).

This multi-layered architecture allows healthcare digital twins to evolve dynamically, offering an accurate and holistic representation of the patient's current and projected health state.

Applications in Precision Medicine, Monitoring, and Predictive Analytics

The introduction of digital twins into healthcare has provided a new pathway of precision medicine by personalizing the approach of medical decisions and procedures to the specifics of the patient (Schroeder et al., 2023). Digital twins can help clinicians choose the best responses in various combinations of treatment scenarios and thus reduce undesirable outcomes. As an example in the field of oncology, digital twin models can replicate the growth of a given tumor, including modeling response to different chemotherapies and helping the oncologist to create the most effective person-specific treatment (Kamel Boulos & Zhang, 2021).

Digital twins are relevant in the management of chronic diseases so that, even when the patient is not physically monitored, conditions like diabetes, cardiovascular diseases and respiratory conditions can be monitored round the clock. Data collected in real-time by wearables and implantable devices is passed into the digital twin model, with such approaches enabling an early warning about deterioration and a timely response (Bruynseels et al., 2020). E.g., the use of predictive analytics can allow forecasting exacerbation of heart failure among patients depending on the pattern of fluid retention or arrhythmia (Fleming et al., 2020).

Moreover, AI and machine learning support of predictive analytics expand the usefulness of digital twins through the detection of health patterns, disease development projections, and the measures of prevention planning (Becker et al., 2022). Digital twins are also utilized in hospitals and health systems to optimize their operations, like modeling patient flow, bed allocation, and resources to increase efficiency and patient recent outcomes (Garcia et al., 2023).

Challenges of Data Management in Digital Twin Models

As much as the possible value of healthcare digital twins is enormous, there will be huge complexities of data handling when it is used and special considerations should be made to ensure that the successful deployment of the digital twins is realized.

There are first integration challenges presented by the heterogeneity and volume of information. Digital twins mandate such a combination of the type and structure of data as structured clinical data, unstructured physician notes, genomic sequences, real-time sensor outputs, and environmental data

Economic Sciences
https://economic-sciences.com
ES (2025) 21(3S), 206-222| ISSN:1505-4683

(Roehrs et al., 2022). Reconciliation of these databases into a single model requires high-level interoperability standard and data harmonization regimes.

Second, the quality of the data and its accuracy have a direct effect on the trustfulness of the digital twin simulation. The deficient, irregular, or incorrect information may result in false predictions which may comprise patient safety (Chen et al., 2022). Vigorous data validation and cleansing procedures are required in order to sustain model fidelity.

Third, there is an incentive regarding data privacy and protection due to the ongoing data gathering and analytical procedures as the sensitive data can be derived (O. Shrivastava et al., 2023). Malicious entry with data theft or manipulation of digital twin models can carry very clinical and ethical consequences (Alonso et al., 2021).

Lastly, the issue of regulation and legality is presented by the fact that healthcare data exchange is global. Adherence to the national and international data protection laws, including HIPAA and GDPR, introduces additional complexity to the data governance and cross-border data exchange (Garcia et al., 2023).

To effectively manage these data management challenges, it would be necessary to work out multidisciplinary collaborations, strong technological infrastructures and open governance structures to enable the realization of the potential of digital twins in healthcare.

3. Blockchain Technology Fundamentals

Overview of Blockchain Architecture

Blockchain can best be summarized as a kind of distributed ledger technology (DLT) that provides transparent, verifiable, and tam proof-resistant recording of transactions to a de-centralized and distributed network of nodes (Nakamoto, 2008). In contrast to a traditional centralized database relying on a trusted third party, blockchain runs a peer-to-peer network and each blockchain node stores a copy of the ledger, providing data redundancy and resilience (Zheng et al., 2020).

The true center of blockchain architecture is the structure of the block with every block having a list of transactions, a date, a cryptographic hash of the prior block and a different hash of the current. Such cryptographic connection with blocks forms an unchangeable chain, as making unauthorized changes to the chain becomes Apple computer technically infeasible (Casino et al., 2019).

The blockchain system is based on the consensus mechanism which approves the transactions and settles them prior to recording them on security. To ensure network integrity, such consensus algorithms are used as Proof of Work (PoW) and Proof of Stake (PoS), Delegated Proof of Stake (DPoS), and Byzantine Fault Tolerance (BFT) algorithms (Xie et al., 2019). The mechanisms make all of the nodes consistent with the state of the ledger without resorting to a central authority.

Also, blockchain features smart contracts, pieces of code, stored in blockchain, that automatically enforce the terms, and deals that are agreed upon. With its applications, a smart contract in healthcare may automate the processes involving the access to information, regulations and consent management (Kumar et al., 2021).

Its decentralized, transparent, and secure systems allow blockchain to be an exceptional choice in dealing with the complexity of handling of healthcare data when coupled with a digital twin system where it will produce a never-ending flow of sensitive patient information.

Key Features: Decentralization, Immutability, Transparency, and Security

Decentralization:

Blockchain is able to get rid of reliance on centralized powers by spreading information among the various nodes in the network. In addition to increasing resilience in the systems, it minimizes the likelihood of single points of failures and generates trust between participants who might not be familiar with each other (Pournader et al., 2021). Decentralization will enable several stakeholders (patients, providers, insurance, and governments) to access and authenticate data in healthcare without invading the privacy of the patients.

Immutability:

When a transaction has been added and confirmed at the blockchain, it cannot be altered or destroyed without the agreement of all the parties in the network (Yli-Huumo et al., 2016). The feature can help audit the healthcare data so that the integrity of the healthcare records including the treatment and treatment history, clinical trials and other medical details could be relied upon, thus reducing the chance of fraud, tampering and manipulation of data.

Transparency:

Through blockchain, the distributed ledger allows all authorized participants to see and build on transactions on a real-time basis. Transparency of this extent offers accountability and trust between the healthcare stakeholders besides empowering patients to track the people viewing their personal health data (Agbo et al., 2019). Enhanced access logs may facilitate ethics and regulatory needs of data sharing.

Security:

Blockchain uses advanced levels of cryptography in data transaction security. Public-key cryptography makes sure that only a certain number of users can access particular information, whereas hashing functions make sure that the information is not disclosed, and its integrity is maintained (Esposito et al., 2018). Moreover, there is no possibility of unauthorized edits because of consensus mechanisms, which means protection of patient privacy and reduction of threats of cyber attacks.

This combination of features can help resolve most of the current vulnerabilities in healthcare data systems and provide a good basis to build secure environments of digital twins.

Types of Blockchains: Public, Private, Consortium

The applicability of blockchain in healthcare sector greatly relies on what type of blockchain is used. There are mainly the following categories:

Public Blockchains:

Public blockchains, some examples being, Bitcoin and Ethereum, are permissionless and open, meaning that any person can become a participant on the network by verifying transactions and obtaining access to the ledger (Nakamoto, 2008). Although Latent transparency and decentralization are offered by the desirable characteristics of public blockchains, their openness might not be applicable in healthcare use cases that require data privacy and compliance with regulatory frameworks (Angraal et al., 2017). Further, they can be hardly used in the medical field as scalability and energy usage issues limit the scope of their application in systems based on PoW.

Private Blockchains:

Private blockchain uses the closed network with the limited access that only authorized participants have. This granted architecture introduces a high level of information control and privacy as well as legal compliance (Pournader et al., 2021). Private blockchains are well-suited to professional participants in healthcare, insurance companies, and regulators that need to be secure, auditable, and privacy-friendly environments to share the data and, at the same time, be efficient in operations.

Consortium Blockchains:

Consortium blockchains are a hybrid in that multiple pre-agreed organizations come together and jointly manage the network. Such a direction is a golden mean between decentralization and directed participation that allows sharing trusted information across various healthcare organizations and guarantees compliance with regulations and data governing management (Agbo et al., 2019). Due to their growing popularity in healthcare partnerships and clinical research networks, numerous cross-border data-exchange programs, consortium blockchains are becoming preferable in quickening healthcare initiatives.

Every type of blockchain has its own advantages and disadvantages. Selection of architecture has to be consistent with the unique needs of the healthcare digital twin systems in terms of sensitivity of data, regulations, interoperability, scalability, and trusted stakeholders.

4. Integrating Blockchain with Digital Twins

Conceptual Framework for Integration

Blockchain technology converging with the digital twin technology has provided a revolutionary solution to handling healthcare data issues as they appear: security, transparency, and efficiency. An integrated conceptual framework helps take advantage of strong suits of the two systems to

Economic Sciences
https://economic-sciences.com
ES (2025) 21(3S), 206-222| ISSN:1505-4683

develop the single, patient-centric ecosystem (Agbo et al., 2021).

This unified framework involves digital twins aggregating and analyzing health data in real-time coming through a variety of devices (wearables, medical devices, laboratory systems, electronic health records (EHRs), etc.). Such data flows can then be encrypted and stored to a blockchain ledger that is being immutable, traceable, and decentralized in control. The blockchain acts as the spine to data governance, whereas digital twin acts as the analytical engine, which models patient health trajectories, simulates consequences of treatment, and supplies clinical decision support (Zhang et al., 2022).

The framework of integration generally incorporates a number of chief aspects:

• **Data Acquisition and Preprocessing**: Physiological and clinical data are collected by sensors, IoT devices and healthcare information systems.

• **Blockchain Network Layer**: All the transactions involving the storage, access, sharing and consent of your data are permanently air-gapped on the blockchain ledger.

• **Smart Contract Layer**: utilizing blockchain technology, automates data permission checks, the possibility of access to data, and compliance procedures.

• **Digital Twin Analytics Layer**: It is a layer of data processing of encrypted data to provide predictive models and simulations, personalized healthcare insights.

• **User Interface Layer**: Allows healthcare providers and patients to have role-based and safe access with insight into digital twins and blockchain transactions.

The layered integration generates a comprehensive digital health ecosystem, in which the computational requirements of digital twins and data control requirements of healthcare structures are met (Hussien et al., 2021).

Smart Contracts for Consent Management & Access Control

In health sector, lack of consent is a patient right and obligatory challenge to sharing of data and consent to a decision to treat. Consent management usually includes traditional, manual, and paper-based consent management systems, which have a higher probability of errors, delays, and unqualified users (Kumar et al., 2021). Smart contracts in blockchain provide a very productive and automated solution.

Smart contracts are digital agreements that are self-executing and are placed on the blockchain, and they automatically enforce the requirements of the agreement once specified conditions are satisfied (Liang et al., 2022). As an example, a patient can define which of the healthcare providers, researchers, or insurers can access and use certain data elements, over what period of time, and under what circumstances. After such rules have been written as a smart contract, permission is automatically awarded or denied using the block chain according to these terms without anyone having to make an access manual decision.

Such a method offers:

- Fine-drained control of data sharing
- Irreversible transcripts of consent payments
- Ability to cancel or change the access rights of the patient immediately
- Auditability in relation to regulations

Smart contracts also enable patients to exert control over their own health data because all aspects of consent management can be decentralized, thus freeing up administrative overheads of health care facilities (Zhang et al., 2021).

Secure Data Sharing Across Healthcare Ecosystems

Disjointed and segregated data systems that can be used to facilitate inter and intra-operability in healthcare are one of the key impediments to healthcare innovation (Boulos et al., 2021). Blockchain and digital twins make data sharing convenient, safe, and privacy-preserving throughout healthcare environments.

In this system, medical providers, insurers, research facility and regulatory organizations act as nodes in a blockchain network. Each data transaction is encrypted and distributed to the ledger, which enables licensed parties to access information

Economic Sciences
https://economic-sciences.com
ES (2025) 21(3S), 206-222| ISSN:1505-4683

depending on the patient-specific permissions administered by smart contracts (Hussein et al., 2021).

Advantages of safe data sharing are:

• Better coordination of care among many providers

• Efficient clinical research with access to high-quality datasets that are anonymized

• Increased capability to monitor and manage the health of people by data aggregation of de-identified population health data

• Catapulted innovation due to the creation of AI-based healthcare apps in teams

Notably, the data sharing models based on blockchain technology reduce the risks of data leaks and uncontrolled access and strengthen the trust journey among the stakeholders (Hohl et al., 2021).

Audit Trails and Immutable Logs for Compliance

Compliance constitutes a major issue faced by healthcare organizations, mostly in the era of strict data security regulations, including the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act (HIPAA) and the General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR) (Fernandes et al., 2021). Immutable audit trails on a blockchain can easily implement ongoing compliance in a most delightful way.

Each data access, change, or share is permanently imprinted in the blockchain ledger to make tamper-proof records of all occurrences (Jani, 2018). The following is what this unchangeable log provides:

• Full regulation audit by regulators and compliance officers

• The ability to see who accessed data, when and why in real time

• Automatic compliance representation using block chain analytics addition

• Forensic tools to investigate possible abuses or violation

Poor administrative overhead and conventional audit-related risks are dramatically limited in blockchain because of its capacity to integrate compliance into the system architecture (Mettler, 2016).

The combination of audit trail and digital twins guarantee that healthcare organizations should confidently innovate because they know that the integrity of data, privacy, and regulatory compliance are strictly enforced.

5. Case Studies and Industry Applications

Practical Applications of Blockchain digital twin integration

Although blockchain and digital twin applications in healthcare are still in their infancy, feasibility pilot projects and practical applications have proven that such combination has a potential solution to the age-old data security, interoperability and patient engagement issues (Fosso Wamba et al., 2022).

EHealth System (Guardtime) in Estonia:

With blockchain and digital health infrastructures being the most progressive spheres in a country, it is Estonia that has developed the most developed national healthcare model. Working together with Guardtime, Estonian eHealth Foundation implemented a blockchain-based system to protect the health records of patients as well as clinical processes, and audit trails within its national digital health infrastructure (Kuo et al., 2020). As much as it is not a complete digital twin implementation, the system offers real-time information exchange, secure monitoring of patients and immutable log of access that can be used as the key elements of digital twin implementation in the future.

Healthereum:

Healthereum is creating a platform using blockchain to ensure better patient interaction and data integrity in a digital twin model. The platform enables the patients to be dynamic in the control of their healthcare data, with informed sharing of information with the emphasis of incorporating real-time patient-reported outcomes that could support digital twin simulations to achieve more interventions in personalized care (Nguyen et al., 2020).

Embleema:

Embleema provides the platform of patient health data based on blockchain and helps to support such initiatives as clinical research and precision medicine. Through giving patients ownership over their digital health record, Embleema can securely include clinical, genomic and lifestyle data within digital twin models it uses to perform predictive analytics and optimization of treatment (Agbo et al., 2021).

Use Cases in Chronic Disease Management, Remote Monitoring, and Telemedicine

CDW: Chronic Disease Management:

Chronic illnesses like diabetes, heart illness, and chronic bronchitis (COPD) necessitate constant check-ups and dynamic care plans. Blockchain-based digital twins allow real-time monitoring of biomarkers in patients wearing gadgets and utilizing the IoT and guarantee privacy and authorized disclosure of data (Baker et al., 2021). Another example involves glucose monitoring sensors used by diabetic patients being able to feed data into the digital twin models to depict glucose variations, so that the physician can optimize the insulin regimen but also make sure that the entry of data is regulated through smart contracts under the control of the patient (Esposito et al., 2021).

Remote Patient Monitoring:

Remote monitoring is a concept that has become quite relevant especially in healthcare after the pandemic. Digital twins secured through blockchain can be monitored in real time and be converted to decentralized in-home and rural patient monitoring (Moubarak et al., 2021). The delivery of real-time data to the digital twin models offers an ability to monitor and screen the cardiac data with ECG monitors and transmit data to the digital model, where cardiologists can diagnose an arrhythmia in time and make medication changes remotely, and prevent unneeded hospitalization, all without compromising the data integrity.

Telemedicine and Virtual Health Care:

Blockchain-enabled digital twins provide an innovative aspect to the concept of telemedicine as they will be able to provide data-driven end-to-end consultations. Up-to-date digital copies of a patient can also be presented to physicians with historical, real-time and predictive data. Blockchain guarantees the protection of sensitive medical information of the patient when it is not shared but only with legitimate providers of services in remote consultations (Hohlbl et al., 2021). Furthermore, the interaction that takes part in the telemedicine can be automatically logged as smart contracts, producing irrevocable clinical records that promote care continuity and medico-legal compliance.

Emerging Startups and Tech Solutions

Innovation in the B2D cross-section is being tried by a number of technology firms and start-ups:

IBM Watson Health:

IBM Watson Health is studying digital twin facilitated with AI to tailor care to patients and foresee the course of diseases. Although Watson Health mainly wears the hat of AI-based analytics to support health outcomes and care advancement, the company has also been spending resources on blockchain technologies in the form of IBM Blockchain, which facilitates the exchange of health data and interoperability and decentralized consent management (IBM, 2021). This two-fold ability makes IBM a trend-setter regarding a whole-of-ecosystem approach to digital twins.

Guardtime:

KSI Blockchain technology sought and developed by Guardtime has already been operationalized in the national health system of Estonia to guarantee the integrity of the data, its immutable nature, and transparently audit it (Kuo et al., 2020). Their solutions can form the basis of the integration of a blockchain-secured patient data and a digital twin model.

Nebula Genomics:

Nebula Genomics integrates blockchain and genomics so that people could own their genomic data and share it with others safely. They are consistent with the concept of digital twins in which genetic data is essential in generating extremely individualized models of disease prediction and drug response (Grishin et al., 2021).

Patientory:

Patientory is a health information management system that is based on blockchain technology which gives patients, the ability to store, manage, as well as, share their medical records in a safe manner. The system provided by Patientory allows collecting a variety of streamed data relating to disparate resources to develop a comprehensive digital twin and retain the HIPAA compliant privacy management (Agbo et al., 2021).

Medicalchain:

Medicalchain uses blockchain to develop the basic blockchain-based electronic health records that patients own. Such safe data baseline has potential to build digital twins taking care of trusted, complete and longitudinal health records available to authorized stakeholders (McFarlane et al., 2017).

The examples provided by this industry indicate that the convergence of blockchain and digital twins is not an abstract speculation but a proactive initiative that could be evolving through real-life implementations that are likely to transform the way healthcare is delivered.

6. Technical Challenges and Adoption Barriers

Blending blockchain technology into digital twin systems in healthcare has the potential to transform but there are a number of technical, operation, economic difficulties which need to be solved before scalable, real world implementation is possible. Holistic knowledge on these obstacles is necessary to develop effective, sustainable, and safe solutions by the stakeholders.

The Problem of Scalability and Interoperability

Scalability is one of the most important technical obstacles on the way to blockchain and digital twins integration. Digital twins produce massive and constant real-time data originating across multiple sources, such as IoTs, clinical imagery systems, genetic sequencing, and wearables (Zhang et al., 2020). The extensively used classic blockchain platforms especially with Proof of Work (PoW) based consensus protocols have transactional-based limitations including the transaction throughput, latency, and computation resource requirements (Yli-Huumo et al., 2016).

As an example, popular public blockchains such as Bitcoin or Ethereum can perform a minimal number of transactions per second and this is not enough to meet the demands of the high-frequency data interactions in the healthcare market (Jani, 2019). More efficient but still permissioned blockchains might have difficulties, processing and verifying a significant amount of concomitant data updates, produced by digital twin models (Agbo et al., 2019).

Very much associated with it is this challenge of interoperability. Healthcare ecosystems are complex, and in many cases disparate Electronic Health Record (EHR), clinical information systems, and proprietary data formats are not compatible (Hussien et al., 2021). The seamless mode of data exchange among blockchain networks and various digital twins platforms should involve standardization of data model as well as communication protocols and interoperability frameworks, which are not consistent or well-developed within the healthcare sector (Islam et al., 2021).

Failing at addressing the scalability and interoperability issues, blockchain-based digital twin solutions are at risk of being siloed or remaining inefficient or incapable of operating beyond pilots.

Storage and Processing Limitations of Data

Digital twins depend on constant consumption, evaluation, and update of large volumes of high-dimensional health services information, such as physiological indicators, imaging, laboratory tests, genetic information, and behavioral information (Baker et al., 2021). It is not desirable to put all this information on a blockchain ledger directly as most blockchain structures available today have low storage capability.

The storage of huge datasets on-chain results in:

- Outrageous growth in ledgers
- Higher costs of storage (P. Sikarwar et al., 2023)
- Reduced transaction speeds of processing
- Congestion in the network (Esposito et al., 2018)

Consequently, the solution is to have off-chain storage, in which sensitive data on health is stored in independently encrypted databases or clouds, with the blockchain simply keeping access colored hashes or access metadata to assure data integrity (Mettler, 2016). This more of a hybrid solution has more benefits of scalability, but comes with new challenges on how to maintain the security of the off-chain storage, data synchronization and trust in off-chain services.

Moreover, digital twin system-based analytical requirements in real-time may need high-performance computing infrastructure, which is likely to strain most of the blockchain-native solutions (Zhang et al., 2022). A balance between on chain verification and off chain processing is required to limit tradeoffs between security and system responsiveness.

Integration with Legacy Systems

The truth is that healthcare institutions use decades-old legacy IT systems, which do not imply interoperability, decentralized system design, or real-time data transfers (Fernandes et al., 2021). Some of these systems could be based on out-dated data standards, proprietary interfaces and inflexible administrative protocols that are incompatible with newer platforms such as blockchain and digital twins.

The nexus issues are:

• Conversance of legacy data forms to a form convertible to blockchain (Jani, 2018)

• The redesigning of existing clinical systems to fit a new model of consent management

• Educating clinicians on how to communicate with blockchain-based frameworks

• Maintaining regulatory compliance of such transitions in the system (Kuo et al., 2020)

Blockchain-digital twins solutions are at risk of acting in silo to legacy system thus causing redundancies, inconsistency and additional administrative overhead instead of actual digital transformation without extensive migration strategies that may accompany such solutions.

Cost and Resource Implications

The establishment of the system of digital twin technologies powered by blockchain demands comprehensive financial expenses and operational investments, especially during the early stages of the process (Islam et al., 2021). Major drivers of costs are:

• Custom and development of the blockchain platform

• IT infrastructure modernisation (IT, cloud storage and network capacity)

• Data moving and integration systems

• Changing and training of the staff

• Legal and regulatory advisory to regulative requirements that are changing

In addition to that, continuing to follow best practices of high-security standards involved in the management of cryptographic keys, consensus protocols, and smart contract auditing bears further incremental costs of operation (Pournader et al., 2021).

Such resource requirements might prove prohibitory to small healthcare providers, rural hospitals as well as poorly financed research institutions, which similarly constrains the widespread use of such technology adaptations, leading in turn to further inequity in healthcare technology access (Hölbl et al., 2021).

To conquer such cost obstacles, multi-stakeholder collaborations, public-private partnerships and scaleable business models that spread the risk and investment throughout healthcare environments will be needed.

7. Regulatory and Ethical Considerations

The consequences of the healthcare sector introducing blockchain technology and digital twins present multiple regulatory and ethical risks to handle, such as the need to store patient data, legal compliance, and maintaining patient trust. The group of major benefits attributed to blockchain as transparency, security, and data control must be complemented by a series of new concerns related to jurisdiction, accountability, and patient rights that were previously not envisioned to be addressed by the current set of healthcare regulations and codes (Agbo et al., 2021).

HIPAA, GDPR and Other International Laws Compliance

Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act (HIPAA):

The United States has the HIPAA regulation that creates a national standard, which protects sensitive health information about patients. Any digital twin solution built on blockchain that deals with Protected Health Information (PHI) has to obey the Privacy Rule, Security Rule, and Breach Notification Rule in HIPAA (Fernandes et al., 2021). Particular difficulties are:

• When ensuring the blockchain nodes and smart contracts assure HIPAA administrative, technical and physical protections.

• Controlling patient consent in decentral networks.

• Following HIPAA policies of access control and disclosure through implementation of audit trails.

• Accounting the conflict between immutability and the right to amend of the blockchain and HIPAA.

General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR):

The GDPR, adopted in the European Union, is also challenging because it is very high standards to protect personal data and focuses on the right of individuals, including the so-called right to be forgotten (Hussien et al., 2021). One legal conflict between the immutability of Blockchain and the GDPR right to have data erased presents the developers of healthcare digital twin systems with a curious dilemma.

The main issues of GDPR compliance are:

• Specifying the specific functions of data controllers and processors in the decentralized networks.

• Creating a decentralized off-chain storage mechanism that enables such data to be deleted and still remain on-chain (P. Asati et al., 2024).

• Assuring informed granular and revocable patient consent.

• Privacy-by-design and privacy-by-default of the development of smart contracts (Mettler, 2016).

Other Global Rules:

As well as the HIPAA and GDPR, blockchain-digital twin solutions need to operate through changing local and international data protection regulations including:

- Personal Data Protection Bill (India)
- Personal Information Protection Law (PIPL, China)
- California Consumer Privacy Act (USA, CCPA)
- OECD Health Data Governance Framework

Such geographic differences make it additionally difficult to create internationally portable healthcare blockchain solutions and ones that are lawful.

Ethical Concerns: Data Ownership, Consent, and Fair Use

On top of the legal adherence, the entwining of blockchain-digital twins illuminates serious ethical challenges, such as the autonomy of patients, the commercialization of data and promoting equitable access (Hohl et al., 2021).

Data Ownership:

The culture of established healthcare systems tends to regard medical data as a possession of the healthcare provider, the insurance company, or the research organisation. Blockchain would allow a paradigm shift so that patients can own and manage the access to their data and monetize it in case they wish (Kumar et al., 2021). But, such a model causes reassessment by:

• The exploitation of people at risk in data markets.

• Inability of patients to bargain with the large tech firms in an equal manner.

• Conflicts that may arise between the interest of individuals and the interest of conducting health related research.

Informed Consent:

Smart contracts of blockchain allow a granular management of consent, however, there are ethical concerns on:

• Securing the knowledge of patients on complicated consent forms.

• Avoiding the problem of consent fatigue due to the numerous permission requests.

• The safety of patients against the harassment or deception of consent (Liang et al., 2022).

Fair Use and Fair Play:

The occurrence of blockchain-enhanced digital twin systems is jeopardized by the possibility of afflicting healthcare disparities in cases where less endangered or monmicro0094BM tonymcr High-tech medical organizations do not have access to their services (Moubarak et al., 2021). Moral theories have to respond to:

• Fair sharing of the use of technology in different populations.

• Representation of the marginalised groups in system design and governance.

• Protects digital twin models based on AI where the algorithms can be biased.

Frameworks for Governance and Standardization

Complexities In order to resolve such legal and ethical challenges, exhaustive governance structures are required. Important parts of governance are:

Technical Standards Development:

The active IEEE, HL7, and ISO organizations are working on blockchain and digital twin-related medical interoperability, security, and privacy standards (Baker et al., 2021). Such standards will also be important in initiating the same implementation of systems across the board and safely.

Ethical governing Institutions:

The ongoing oversight of the following can be ensured by multi-stakeholder governance boards that include consultants, scientists, ethicists, regulators, and technologists:

• Consent models

• algorithmic fairness audits

• Policies of data commercialization

• Monitoring of conflict of interest (Zhang et al., 2022)

Inter-Border Law:

Since blockchain networks are global, we require international collaboration to overcome the conflicting regulatory needs. Such collaboration can be illustrated by examples of the OECD Global Partnership on AI and EU-U.S. Trade and Technology Council (Pournader et al., 2021).

The mechanisms of Transparency, and Accountability:

On its part, blockchain-based governance platforms are also capable of enhancing their own transparency to a level that governance decisions, modifications to smart contracts, and regulatory audits are stored on-chain, resulting in indisputable proofs of regulatory compliance and accountability (Islam et al., 2021).

Such regulatory and ethical issues will be very vital towards the realization of the full potentials of blockchain-enabled digital twin technologies without compromising the rights of patients, trust, and social justice of the general population.

8. Future Directions and Research Opportunities

- Blockchain-digital twin technology in healthcare is a developing research frontier. Even though much has been done to describe their feasibility conceptually, there are still a number of higher-order directions that must be taken by the future studies in order to make them safe, efficient, and scalable worldwide. Some new technologies like federated learning, quantum-safe blockchain, and cross-border policy frameworks promise to lead toward realizing the potential of these innovations.
- Federated Learning and Cognitive Twins
- One of the recent machine learning paradigms is called federated learning: it enables model training to be done in a collaborative manner between many data silos without exchanging raw patient data (Li et al., 2020). The healthcare sector is one such area where this method is especially useful because the rules regarding data privacy do not allow centralizing data aggregation. With regard to digital twins with blockchain:
- Federated learning permits digital twin models to integrate broad and international data sets with the capability of maintaining the privacy of patients.
- Blockchain serves as the infrastructure in order to coordinate the update of the distributed model and verify the identity of contributors as

well as the creation of tamper-proof audit trails of the training process (Xu et al., 2021).

- The security, as well as the trust, can be achieved by automating the management of the consent, setting rules of participation, and rewarding data contributors through smart contracts.
- In addition to federated learning, there is a concept of cognitive twins, or a superior version of digital twins that are enriched with artificial intelligence (AI), adaptive learning, and predictive reasoning, which offer an exceptional perspective on personalized medicine (Sun et al., 2022). Cognitive twins do more than duplicate the physical status of a patient but keep learning as new clinical, genomic, behavioral information dynamically becomes available to support optimal diagnostics, treatment planning, and preventive care approaches.
- With cognitive twins merged with blockchain, it is guaranteed that:
- Sensitive AI models are readable and understandable.
- The integrity of the ever-changing model parameters is maintained.
- Patient autonomy is maintained by actual-time acceptance of model releases.

The resulting integration may eventually bring about adaptive intelligent healthcare systems where it can be active in intervention and near-real-time personalized at a satisfactory level of privacy and accountability in ethical terms.

Quantum-Secure Blockchain for Healthcare

The growing popularity of blockchain usage is met by the fear that quantum computers will disrupt the traditional form of cryptography (Fernandes et al., 2021). When functional, quantum computers have the potential to bring down the elliptic curve cryptography and hash functions modern blockchain is mostly built on today and, as a result, expose patient data to extreme risk.

After quantum cryptography (the more accurate term is quantum-secure blockchain) is a research area that is currently focused on creating encryption algorithms that are resistant to quantum attacks (Chen et al., 2021). Within the meaning of medical care:

• Patient identity, consent mechanisms, and integrity of clinical trials can be secured using quantum-resistant signature schemes (e.g. lattice-based, multivariate, hash-based cryptography).

• With secure multi-party computation and quantum-safe blockchains, privacy-preserving collaborations can be established among the research organizations and healthcare providers.

• Block-chain bodies might have to aim quantum random number generators (QRNG) to enhance the randomness of the single-key creation.

The quantum-safe cryptography should be pre-emptively implemented in healthcare blockchain networks and secure the safety of patients as well as the confidence of the population in a more quantum-enabled world.

Policy Development and International Collaboration

International policy frameworks must be put in place to govern blockchain-digital twin integration because the data sharing characteristic of care across the globe, particularly in pandemic preparedness, rare disease research, and cross-border clinical trials is global in nature (Kumar et al., 2022). Important policy considerations comprise:

• Harmonized data standards: International standards (like HL7 FHIR, ISO TC 215, IEEE P2418.6) should have universal adoption in order to have secure interoperability between jurisdictions.

• Universal principles: The cross-border agreements should outline unified guidelines on how patients may be consented, how the secondary data may be utilized, and the sovereignty of data.

• Decentralized governance: The use of international blockchain healthcare consortiums would be used to form distributed-governance systems that would be able to accommodate the interests of governments, healthcare providers, patients, and technology firms.

• Ethical infrastructures of AI marriage: The digital twins have matured into cognitive systems hence strong ethics should be used to regulate AI transparency, bias aversion, and accountability in international clinical decision-making.

Such international partnerships as OECD Health Committee, World Health Organization (WHO), and G7 Digital Health Task Force have an advantage as the more likely leaders in carrying out these collaborations. However, blockchain-digital twin innovations will become highly fragmented without aligned international policies that can increase inequalities in access to healthcare and the disregard of long-term interoperability.

9. Conclusion

The intersection of blockchain and digital twin technology is an unexcelled opportunity to transform the way healthcare data is managed, clinical decisions eyeing, and customized patient treatment. The integration allows patients more control over their health data, allows real-time monitoring of the patient using advanced digital twin models, and trusted through the use of immutable, transparent, and decentralized data infrastructures.

In this article we have identified the following main points:

- Digital twins can be used to model patient health in real-time, simulate and forecast disease progression, treatment decisions and interventions to prevent it.
- Blockchain technology offers such important features as decentralization, immutability, transparency, and security that allows it to resolve numerous issues that privacy, integrity, and interoperability of healthcare data management entail.
- Combining the three technologies allows sharing data in a secured way, providing fine-grained consent management, and real-time analytics, as well as trusted collaboration among the various stakeholders of healthcare.
- Nonetheless, in spite of the promise, there are many impediments, among which are technical challenges (scale, interoperate, data storage), regulatory and ethical issues (HIPAA, GDPR, patient consent, data ownership), and adoption obstacles (cost, integration with legacy systems, resource limits).

Overall, the evidence can prove that blockchain-based digital twins cannot be considered a panacea, but this is a baseline to more open, patient-centered, predictive healthcare systems.

Strategic Roadmap for Future Adoption

To get digital twin technologies enabled by blockchain into mainstream use in healthcare, there must have a multi-pronged strategy:

1. Technical Innovation:

- Be prepared to spend to invest in hybrid blockchain designs that merge on-chain verification with off-chain data storage that can scale.
- Use federated learning, AI and cognitive twin innovations to develop predictive performance and maintain privacy.
- Create quantum-resistant blockchain applications to provide a long-term cryptography piece-of-mind.

2. Standardization; Interoperability:

- International cooperation to develop common data standards, communication rules and models of consent.
- Make other Electronic Health Record (EHR) systems and new digital twins platforms be interoperable.

3. Regulatory Harmonization:

- Axis policymakers, regulators and jurists to establish internationally unified compliance models that deal with such issues as privacy, consent, data ownership and international transmission of data.

4. Ethical Governance:

- Form multi-stakeholder governance institutions to regulate ethical concerns that involve rights of the patients, monetization of data, prejudices of the algorithms, and fair access to technology.

5. Public-Private Partnerships:

- Encourage the cooperation between governments, medical organizations, technology makers, academic and patient advocacy groups to distribute risks, resources, and experience.

6. Patient Education and Provider:

- Invest in training doctors and nurses and informing the patients of the advantages, dangers, and obligations of blockchain-based digital twins.

With such a systematic approach to these key strategic areas, the healthcare systems will be able to unleash the full potential of blockchain-enabled digital twins systems, and can eventually result in better clinical outcomes, better empowerment to the patient, increased efficiency in hospitals, and equality in health among all partaking in the healthcare ecosystem.

References

1. Abeywardena, I. S., Jayasinghe, K., & Perera, S. (2023). Blockchain-driven healthcare data management: A systematic review and future research directions. *Journal of Medical Systems*, 47(2), 1023.
2. Agbo, C. C., Mahmoud, Q. H., & Eklund, J. M. (2019). Blockchain technology in healthcare: A systematic review. Healthcare, 7(2), 56.
3. Agbo, C. C., Mahmoud, Q. H., & Eklund, J. M. (2021). Blockchain technology in healthcare: A comprehensive review and directions for future research. Applied Sciences, 11(14), 6448.
4. Ahmed, M. U., Mahmood, A. N., & Hu, J. (2021). Healthcare digital twins: A novel paradigm for personalized medicine. *IEEE Access*, 9, 12119-12136.
5. Ali, S., Bashir, A., & Khan, R. (2022). Blockchain-enabled healthcare digital twins: Challenges, solutions, and future directions. *Health Informatics Journal*, 28(3), 1460-1474.
6. Alonso, S. G., de la Torre Díez, I., & García-Zapirain, B. (2021). Digital twin in healthcare: A scoping review of definitions, current applications, challenges, and future research directions. Journal of Medical Internet Research, 23(7), e27894.
7. Angraal, S., Krumholz, H. M., & Schulz, W. L. (2017). Blockchain technology: Applications in health care. Circulation: Cardiovascular Quality and Outcomes, 10(9), e003800.
8. Baker, S. B., Xiang, W., & Atkinson, I. (2021). Internet of things for smart healthcare: Technologies, challenges, and opportunities. IEEE Access, 9, 36622-36653.
9. Batty, M., Axhausen, K. W., & Giannotti, F. (2021). Digital twins in smart cities and healthcare: Review and future prospects. Journal of Urban Health, 98(1), 1-14.
10. Becker, C. D., Dugas, M., & Brix, T. (2022). Data-driven digital twins in healthcare: Benefits, challenges, and future directions. Journal of Medical Systems, 46(6), 1-12.
11. Boulos, M. N. K., Tsouros, A. D., & Holopainen, A. (2021). Blockchain applications in digital health: Learning from early explorations. Smart Health, 19, 100165.
12. Bruynseels, K., Santoni de Sio, F., & van den Hoven, J. (2020). Digital twins in health care: Ethical implications of an emerging engineering paradigm. Frontiers in Genetics, 11, 31.
13. Casino, F., Dasaklis, T. K., & Patsakis, C. (2019). A systematic literature review of blockchain-based applications: Current status, classification and open issues. Telematics and Informatics, 36, 55-81.
14. Chen, L., Chen, G., & Xu, L. D. (2021). Blockchain-based healthcare system design: A futuristic vision. IEEE Transactions on Industrial Informatics, 17(10), 7262-7270.
15. Chen, M., Ma, Y., Li, Y., & Wu, D. (2022). Artificial intelligence-based digital twin framework in healthcare: A review. IEEE Journal of Biomedical and Health Informatics, 26(1), 1-13.
16. Esposito, C., De Santis, A., Tortora, G., Chang, H., & Choo, K. K. R. (2018). Blockchain: A panacea for healthcare cloud-based data security and privacy? IEEE Cloud Computing, 5(1), 31-37.
17. Esposito, C., De Santis, A., Tortora, G., Chang, H., & Choo, K. K. R. (2021). Blockchain-based digital twin for remote health monitoring. IEEE Internet of Things Journal, 8(21), 15728-15738.
18. Fernandes, M., Vinuesa, R., & Zillner, S. (2021). Digital twins for healthcare compliance: Leveraging blockchain for auditability. Healthcare Transformation, 2(3), 153-162.
19. Fleming, N., et al. (2020). Digital twins in healthcare: The convergence of technologies. The Lancet Digital Health, 2(6), e286-e289.
20. Fosso Wamba, S., Kala Kamdjoug, J. R., Epie Bawack, R., & Keogh, J. G. (2022). Blockchain-based healthcare systems: A bibliometric analysis and research agenda. Technological Forecasting and Social Change, 176, 121421.
21. Fuller, A., Fan, Z., Day, C., & Barlow, C. (2020). Digital twin: Enabling technologies, challenges and open research. IEEE Access, 8, 108952-108971.
22. Garcia, M., Saez, C., & Garcia-Gomez, J. M. (2023). Digital twin for hospital management: Simulation-based decision support systems for

improved healthcare operations. Healthcare Analytics, 3, 100123.
23. Grishin, D., Obbad, K., & Church, G. M. (2021). A decentralized approach to genomic data sharing powered by blockchain. Nature Biotechnology, 39(9), 1154-1163.
24. Hölbl, M., Kompara, M., Kamišalić, A., & Nemec Zlatolas, L. (2021). A systematic review of the use of blockchain in healthcare. Symmetry, 13(7), 1100.
25. Hussein, A., Schneider, J., & Staake, T. (2021). Blockchain-based digital twins: Design requirements and potential use cases in healthcare. Journal of Industrial Information Integration, 22, 100197.
26. Hussien, H. M., Yakoob, M., & Jhanjhi, N. Z. (2021). Blockchain-based secure framework for privacy-preserving healthcare data sharing. IEEE Access, 9, 22341-22352.
27. Ibarra-Esquer, J. E., Gonzalez-Navarro, F. F., Flores-Rios, B. L., & Tapia-Garcia, C. O. (2021). Towards a digital twin in healthcare: A systematic literature review. Journal of Medical Systems, 45(4), 1-20.
28. IBM (2021). IBM Blockchain: Reinventing healthcare with blockchain technology. Retrieved from https://www.ibm.com/blockchain/industries/healthcare
29. Islam, N., Kwak, D., Kabir, M. H., Hossain, M., & Kwak, K. S. (2021). The internet of things for health care: A comprehensive survey. IEEE Access, 9, 35536-35564.
30. Jani, S. (2019). The Emergence of Blockchain Technology & its Adoption in India. 10.13140/RG.2.2.30997.58087.
31. Jani, S. (2018). An Overview of Ethereum & Its Comparison with Bitcoin.
32. Jani, S. (2018). An Overview of Ripple Technology & its Comparison with Bitcoin Technology.
33. Jones, M. L., Smith, D., & Gupta, P. (2023). Digital twins in healthcare: Opportunities and challenges in personalized medicine. *Frontiers in Digital Health*, 5, 112345.
34. Kamel Boulos, M. N., & Zhang, P. (2021). Digital twins: From personalized medicine to precision public health. Journal of Personalized Medicine, 11(8), 745.
35. Khan, S., & Kim, K. (2021). Digital twin technology for smart healthcare: A survey. *Journal of Network and Computer Applications*, 185, 103074.
36. Kumar, N., Mallick, P. K., & Singh, R. (2021). Blockchain-enabled healthcare system for secure patient data sharing. Journal of King Saud University-Computer and Information Sciences, 33(9), 1037-1048.
37. Kumar, N., Mallick, P. K., & Singh, R. (2022). Blockchain technology in healthcare: A comprehensive review, challenges and future research directions. Journal of King Saud University-Computer and Information Sciences, 34(5), 2007-2017.
38. Kuo, T. T., Kim, H. E., & Ohno-Machado, L. (2020). Blockchain distributed ledger technologies for biomedical and health care applications. Journal of the American Medical Informatics Association, 27(7), 1116-1124.
39. Lee, S., Park, Y., & Choi, J. (2023). Smart contract-based consent management in blockchain healthcare systems. *IEEE Journal of Biomedical and Health Informatics*, 27(1), 45-55.
40. Li, T., Sahu, A. K., Talwalkar, A., & Smith, V. (2020). Federated learning: Challenges, methods, and future directions. IEEE Signal Processing Magazine, 37(3), 50-60.
41. Liang, X., Zhao, J., Shetty, S., Liu, J., & Li, D. (2022). Integrating blockchain for data sharing and consent management in healthcare digital twins. Healthcare, 10(3), 550.
42. Liu, Y., Zhang, L., & Yang, Y. (2021). A systematic review of digital twin-based healthcare systems: Application areas, challenges, and future research. Journal of Industrial Information Integration, 23, 100224.
43. Martin, G., Ghafur, S., & Kinross, J. (2023). Ethical and legal issues in digital twin health ecosystems. *BMJ Health & Care Informatics*, 30(1), e100600.
44. McFarlane, C., Beer, M., Brown, J., & Prendergast, D. (2017). Patientory: A healthcare blockchain platform. IEEE International Conference on Healthcare Informatics (ICHI), 1-5.
45. Mettler, M. (2016). Blockchain technology in healthcare: The revolution starts here. 2016 IEEE 18th International Conference on e-Health Networking, Applications and Services (Healthcom), 1-3.
46. Moubarak, G., Azar, D., & Diab, A. (2021). Blockchain and artificial intelligence in healthcare: A review of the current landscape and future trends. Journal of Ambient Intelligence and Humanized Computing, 12(7), 7027-7045.
47. Nakamoto, S. (2008). Bitcoin: A peer-to-peer electronic cash system. Retrieved from https://bitcoin.org/bitcoin.pdf
48. Nguyen, D. C., Pathirana, P. N., Ding, M., & Seneviratne, A. (2020). Blockchain for secure

eHealth systems: A survey. IEEE Access, 8, 160922-160940.
49. O. Shrivastava, S. Raghuwanshi, V. Khare (2023). A Review of the Factors Affecting the Employees Turnover in Private Health Care Organizations. International Journal for Multidisciplinary Research. E-ISSN: 2582-2160
50. Patel, V., & Johnston, D. (2020). Challenges and opportunities of big data analytics for digital twin healthcare systems. *Journal of Big Data*, 7(1), 23.
51. P. Asati, S. Raghuwanshi, A. Vashisht, R. Vyas, & P. Singh (2024). Factors Influencing Health & Environmental Intentions to Adopt Electric Vehicles, from the Perspective of Business School Students. International Journal of Religion, Volume 5, Number 1, pp. 743 – 750
52. Pournader, M., Shi, Y., Seuring, S., & Koh, S. C. L. (2021). Blockchain applications in supply chains, transport, and healthcare: A review of the literature. International Journal of Production Research, 59(7), 2103-2125.
53. P. Sikarwar, D. Gupta, A. Singhal, S. Raghuwanshi and A. Hasan. (2023) Transformative Dynamics: Unveiling the Influence of Artificial Intelligence, Cybersecurity and Advanced Technologies in the Bitcoin. 4th International Conference on Computation, Automation and Knowledge Management (ICCAKM), Dubai, United Arab Emirates, pp. 1-6, doi: 10.1109/ICCAKM58659.2023.10478540.
54. Roehrs, A., da Costa, C. A., & Righi, R. D. R. (2022). Digital twins in healthcare: State-of-the-art, applications, and future perspectives. Journal of Biomedical Informatics, 128, 104056.
55. Schroeder, J., Müller, S., & Weber, C. (2023). Simulation-based digital twins in healthcare: A review of current applications. *Simulation Modelling Practice and Theory*, 125, 102635.
56. Shah, T & Jani, S. (2018). Applications of Blockchain Technology in Banking & Finance. 10.13140/RG.2.2.35237.96489.
57. Singh, A., Krishna, S. H., Raghuwanshi, S., Sharma, J., & Bapat, V. (2023). Measuring Psychological Wellbeing of Entrepreneurial Success–An Analytical Study. *Journal for ReAttach Therapy and Developmental Diversities*, *6*, 338-48.
58. Sun, Y., Zhang, Y., Zhou, X., & Wang, F. (2022). Cognitive digital twins for personalized healthcare: Challenges and opportunities. IEEE Transactions on Artificial Intelligence, 3(1), 47-57.
59. Tresp, V., Overhage, J. M., Bundschus, M., Rabizadeh, S., Fasching, P. A., & Yu, S. (2020). Going digital: A survey on digital twins in healthcare. IEEE Journal of Biomedical and Health Informatics, 24(11), 3443-3450.
60. Wang, F., Zhang, X., & Sun, Y. (2023). Machine learning-enabled predictive analytics in healthcare digital twins. Artificial Intelligence in Medicine, 139, 102471.
61. Wang, G., Zhang, H., & Li, L. (2021). Blockchain-based secure data sharing for digital twins in healthcare. *IEEE Internet of Things Journal*, 8(8), 6707-6718.
62. Xie, J., Tang, J., Huang, T., & Li, J. (2019). Blockchain technology in healthcare: Applications, challenges and future perspectives. International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health, 16(16), 3004.
63. Xu, R., Zhai, L., Wang, L., & Su, S. (2021). Blockchain-enabled federated learning for privacy-preserving healthcare data sharing. Computers in Biology and Medicine, 134, 104502.
64. Yli-Huumo, J., Ko, D., Choi, S., Park, S., & Smolander, K. (2016). Where is current research on blockchain technology?—A systematic review. PloS one, 11(10), e0163477.
65. Zhang, P., Schmidt, D. C., White, J., & Lenz, G. (2021). Blockchain technology use cases in healthcare. Health Informatics Journal, 27(3), 1465-1476.
66. Zhang, T., Liu, W., & Zhao, H. (2023). Data governance in digital health: The role of blockchain in enhancing transparency and trust. *Journal of Medical Internet Research*, 25, e33290.
67. Zhang, Y., Zhao, Y., & Zheng, X. (2022). Blockchain-based digital twins for healthcare data sharing and analysis. Journal of Network and Computer Applications, 190, 103159.
68. Zheng, Z., Xie, S., Dai, H., Chen, X., & Wang, H. (2020). An overview of blockchain technology: Architecture, consensus, and future trends. Proceedings of the IEEE, 108(9), 1437-1450.
69. Zhou, W., Wang, X., & Xu, L. D. (2022). Digital twin-based health monitoring system for personalized healthcare. *IEEE Transactions on Industrial Informatics*, 18(3), 1931-1941.