Economic Sciences
https://economic-sciences.com
ES (2024) 20(2), 329-337 | ISSN:1505-4683

Green Investments and Corporate Social Responsibility: Driving Forces for Sustainable Business Growth

Lokesh Tomar[1], Dr. Salil Seth[2], Mohd Irfan Pathan[3], Parveen Yadav[4], Mrinal Kanti Mahato[5]
[1]Research Scholar, Department of Management Studies, Babasaheb Bhimrao Ambedkar University (A Central University), Lucknow, Uttar Pradesh, India. E-mail: lokeshtomargood123@gmail.com
ORCID ID: https://orcid.org/0009-0002-8271-261X
[2]Assistant Professor, Department of Management Studies, Babasaheb Bhimrao Ambedkar University (A Central University), Lucknow, Uttar Pradesh, India. E-mail: salil100seth@gmail.com
ORCID ID: https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8842-2552
[3]Research Scholar, Department of Management Studies, Babasaheb Bhimrao Ambedkar University (A Central University), Lucknow, Uttar Pradesh, India. E-mail: khanirrfan777@gmail.com
ORCID ID: https://orcid.org/0009-0000-9011-1211
[4]Research Scholar, Department of Marketing and Supply Chain Management, School of Business Studies, Central University of Jammu, Jammu & Kashmir, India. E-mail: raoparveen7698@gmail.com
ORCID ID: https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3631-0671
[5]Assistant Professor, Department of Business Administration, School of Business and Commerce, Manipal University Jaipur (MUJ), Rajasthan India. E-mail: mrinalkantimahato333@gmail.com
ORCID ID: https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3385-4788

Abstract

This conceptual paper examines the role of green investments and corporate social responsibility (CSR) as foundational strategies for sustainable business growth. With environmental concerns increasingly shaping corporate practices, green investments—which prioritize eco-friendly and resource-efficient projects—are vital for companies striving to reduce their ecological footprint while enhancing long-term profitability. CSR, as an established framework, integrates social, environmental, and economic priorities, positioning businesses to balance profit generation with societal responsibility. This paper aims to build a theoretical model outlining the synergies between green investments and CSR, emphasizing their collective impact on economic performance, corporate reputation, and stakeholder trust. Through a comprehensive review of the literature, the paper categorizes insights into how CSR and green investments interact to foster resilient, sustainable business practices. The study's conceptual approach, while constrained by its lack of empirical data, provides a structured foundation for understanding the interplay between these strategies. Future research is encouraged to empirically validate the proposed relationships across industries to deepen insights into how green investments and CSR jointly drive sustainable development and corporate growth.

***Keywords*:** Green Investments, Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR), Sustainable Business Growth, Economic Performance

1.0 Introduction

In recent years, escalating environmental concerns and climate challenges have prompted businesses to adopt strategies that align profitability with ecological responsibility. Green investments, which focus on financing eco-friendly projects and sustainable initiatives, have emerged as a key approach for corporations aiming to minimize their environmental impact while driving growth. Similarly, corporate social responsibility (CSR) has evolved into a strategic imperative, integrating environmental, social, and economic considerations into business operations. Green investments and CSR form a cohesive framework for sustainable business growth, fostering long-term economic resilience and reinforcing corporate reputation.

The primary objective of this paper is to explore how green investments and CSR initiatives function as complementary drivers of sustainable business growth. By synthesizing existing research, the paper aims to develop a theoretical model that elucidates the relationship between these two constructs and their influence on corporate profitability, environmental performance, and stakeholder trust. This study adopts a conceptual research methodology, drawing insights from various secondary sources, including peer-reviewed journals, case studies, and industry reports. The analysis utilizes a thematic approach to categorize findings and highlight emerging trends in green investment and CSR practices, focusing on their combined impact on sustainable growth. Through this framework, the paper establishes a foundation for understanding the intricate relationship between CSR and green investments within contemporary business contexts. The research, however, is limited by its reliance on secondary data, which lacks empirical validation. Future research could expand on this framework by conducting empirical studies across diverse industries, testing the proposed relationships and further refining the theoretical insights presented here. This direction would offer deeper, evidence-based perspectives on how green investments and CSR jointly contribute to achieving sustainable development and corporate growth objectives.

2.0 Literature Review

Recent environmental and climate-related challenges have significantly heightened investor interest in resource efficiency and sustainability. Corporate social responsibility (CSR) acknowledged since 1924, plays a crucial role in shaping organizational operations by integrating environmental, social, and economic considerations into corporate strategies. Corporations are thus seen as having a dual responsibility: generating profit while fostering societal and economic development in alignment with ecological systems. This has led to the emergence of socially responsible investments, rooted in moral and spiritual movements (Han et al., 2020). The concept of green investments arose as a result of responsible and effective social investments, with the overarching objective being sustainable development (Chițimiea et al., 2021).

2.1 Exploring facets of Green Investments

Green investment, as described by Allen and Clouth (2012), is an expansive term perceived as an autonomous notion, a module of a broader investing theme, or intricately linked with other investment methodologies. This concept gained significant traction amid growing concerns regarding the green economy and sustainable development, particularly during the 2010–2011 period when these ideas were viewed as vital strategies for addressing the 2008 financial crisis. The 2012 United Nations Conference on Sustainable Development (Rio+20) emphasized the green economy as a central theme. Green investments, often referred to as eco-friendly investments, are also recognized by terms such as responsible investing (RI), socially responsible investing (SRI), and environmental, social, and governance (ESG) investing (Inderst et al., 2012). This body of research elucidates how green investments span various asset classes (equities, fixed income, and alternative investments), while also estimating the magnitude of these investments across different methodologies.

The absence of consensus on the usage and meaning of the term "green" suggests that the most effective approach may involve embracing flexible and evolving definitions and standards. Sustainable investments broadly encompass the principles of ESG criteria, as well as responsible and socially responsible investing (Utz et al., 2015; Escrig-Olmedo et al., 2017). Government-regulated environmental policies aim to diminish corporate carbon emissions; however, such government spending on environmental protection can inadvertently reduce investments made by private entities. Without adequate governmental regulations on environmental practices, supply chain participants may be reluctant to adopt necessary improvements (Zhang & Yousaf, 2020). Additionally, environmental policy measures foster social responsibility and bolster resource conservation efforts (Costa, 2021).

2.2 Decoding Green Governance

The notion of green governance has gained significant traction in both academic and practical spheres, encompassing the implementation of strategies aimed at promoting environmental sustainability and establishing frameworks to oversee corporations' ecological practices (Zhang & Yousaf, 2020; Wang et al., 2018). Green investments, also referred to as environmental investments, focus on enhancing environmental conditions through social initiatives. These investments can take various forms, from personal contributions to environmental causes to investments in socially responsible businesses (Du et al., 2019). Such green investments, synonymous with socially responsible investments, align with the concept of ecological civilization (Han et al., 2020). Other researchers define green investments as those aimed at reducing greenhouse gas emissions and atmospheric pollutants without significantly impacting the production and utilization of non-power goods (Du et al., 2019; Eyraud et al., 2013).

2.3 Economic Implications of Green Investments

Enhancing green systems and developing ecological frameworks are vital for ensuring sustainable economic growth (Han et al., 2020). The rise in green investments may also indirectly support the growth of industries related to environmental protection and contribute to the establishment of environmental protection funds (Shi et al., 2016; Han, 2020). Various studies highlight both positive and negative characteristics associated with green investments. An important step towards establishing funding systems that are better prepared to tackle the numerous issues (Seth. S et al., in press). Enhanced environmental performance resulting from these investments may lead to improved income and profit margins for organizations. Moreover, green investments facilitate access to a "green" economy, allow for product differentiation based on a firm's eco-reputation, reduce input costs and energy consumption, provide access to eco-friendly or ethical mutual funds, and decrease labor costs through increased employee loyalty and responsibility (Lanoie et al., 2007; Falcone, 2018). One innovative way to help with the costs and benefits of adapting to and mitigating the effects of climate change is via climate financing (Pathan, M et al., 2024). However, green technology often incurs higher costs than conventional alternatives, with a significantly extended return on investment period (Zhang et al., 2015). Two primary factors affect the viability of the green supply chain: the significant expenses associated with green technology, which developing companies may struggle to bear, and the demand uncertainty when investing in green product production, as the concept of green consumption has yet to be fully recognized by consumers (Xing et al., 2019). Pekovic et al., 2018 noted that green investments have minimal impact on an organization's economic performance, primarily enhancing profitability. Furthermore, the research indicates that only a limited number of green investments yield profitability. Corporations are encouraged to voluntarily improve their environmental performance, as environmental legislation has often proven unnecessary. The execution of green projects encounters significant challenges, including lower returns on investment and greater risks compared to fossil fuel projects (Taghizadeh-Hesary & Yoshino, 2020).

2.4 Sustainable Development and Resource Utilization

Implementing green projects involves considerable risk; however, sustainable development must prioritize the rational use of ecological resources to ensure the well-being of both current and future generations (Wang et al., 2019; Mokhov et al., 2018). Green investment and environmental practices are critical across various domains, necessitating that enterprises address the growing concerns of stakeholders (Yen, 2018). Research conducted on 63 Carbon Disclosure

Project (CDP) companies in South Africa revealed that firms incorporating ecological, green investments aimed at reducing carbon dioxide emissions can effectively manage their financial performance (Ganda & Milondzo, 2018). Investments in green technology may lead to overall supply chain cost reductions and decreased carbon emissions (Ghosh et al., 2020). Analysis of data from 16,119 organizations showed a robust correlation between CSR and economic success, emphasizing the need for organizations to prioritize environmental considerations to attract and retain consumers (Mikołajek-Gocejna, 2016).

By endorsing sustainable funding, firms can not only reduce energy consumption and carbon dioxide emissions but also enhance their economic performance through improved visibility, productive efficiency, and the optimization of new opportunities (Atif et al., 2020). Recently, the demand for eco-friendly and sustainable products has surged, necessitating increasingly stringent government requirements (Ilg, 2019). The implementation of eco-friendly investments promotes sustainable development and addresses environmental challenges, resulting in shifts in consumer behavior towards organic products over conventional alternatives (Xing et al., 2019; Yen, 2018). Investors are increasingly inclined to support firms whose management communicates the societal benefits of their green efforts (Martin & Moser, 2016). Consequently, firms and relevant stakeholders are urged to invest in projects, thereby advancing ecological technology (Pimonenko et al., 2020).

2.5 Benefits of Green Investments and CSR Initiatives

There are numerous benefits associated with implementing green investments, including attracting funds through government facilities, meeting consumer demands for environmentally friendly products and services, utilizing eco-friendly technologies that may be exempt from certain taxes, and increasing stakeholder satisfaction, particularly among shareholders who appreciate responsible allocation of funds—all of which contribute to the welfare of future generations. CSR initiatives may also enhance a firm’s outcomes. According to Ganda et al., 2015, Kumarasiri & Jubb, 2016, and Clarkson et al., 2011 these initiatives encourage stakeholder engagement in eco ventures. Such funding is considered accountable and supportive of environmental principles, including reducing carbon emissions, promoting renewable energy, and fostering environmentally sustainable innovations. The study by Asogwa et al., 2020 indicates that companies engaged in comprehensive social stewardship positively influence their stock prices. Eyasu et al., 2020 demonstrated that various collaborators involved in CSR enactment can enhance market advantage. Nguyen et al., 2020 argue that firms adopting CSR initiatives often receive fewer adverse comments regarding the reliability of their economic statements. A focus on ESG criteria may support a company's long-term growth, positively influencing its sustained value (Curpetino et al., 2019). Moreover, authors such as OK and Kim (2019) argue that improving socially responsible management practices enhances organizational worth. Viviani et al., 2019 found that a strong commitment to social responsibility mitigates the risks associated with capital gains.

Jain and Winner (2016) highlight that the CSR landscape reflects favorable reform attributes. Wabha and Elsayed (2015) showed that both economic success and CSR efforts are crucial in investors' decision-making processes. Khojastehpour and Johns (2014) posited that ecological CSR enhances corporate and brand reputation, along with economic gains. Some researchers, such as Lanis and Richardson (2012), discovered that the transparency of CSR initiatives significantly raises the likelihood of tax aggressiveness. Udiale and Fagbemi (2012) indicate a notable and positive correlation between CSR and economic performance indicators. However, Brammer et al. (2006) suggest that companies demonstrating strong CSR performance may experience lower realized returns, while Nelling and Webb (2009) and

Hamilton et al., (1993) conclude that CSR performance does not significantly impact economic results.

Heightened environmental awareness regarding green investments and CSR can enhance both sustainable and economic performance. Green investments, which include low-carbon initiatives and strategies for eco-resilience, are essential for a company's sustainability and play a critical role in fostering investor trust in sustainable investments (Ganda et al., 2015). These investments align with and support environmental objectives, such as lowering carbon dioxide emissions, encouraging renewable energy sources, and advancing eco-friendly technologies. Studies conducted by Mangion et al., 2018 and Ghosh et al., 2020 demonstrate the positive outcomes of integrating CSR initiatives into green investments. As emphasized by Ganda et al., 2015, Atif et al., 2020 the necessity of integrating CSR into green investments is pivotal for maximizing economic outcomes. A thorough understanding and application of CSR principles are thus crucial for promoting green investments that contribute to a firm's overall economic performance.

3.0 Research Methodology

This research paper employs a conceptual framework to explore the relationship between green investments and corporate social responsibility (CSR) as forces for sustainable business growth. Given recent developments in environmental challenges, the literature suggests that both CSR and green investments are critical for addressing the dual corporate mandate of profitability and ecological stewardship. Consequently, this paper synthesizes existing research to present a theoretical analysis of how CSR and green investment strategies can drive sustainable growth within corporations. The approach begins by systematically examining peer-reviewed articles, industry case studies, and policy documents to identify key themes in CSR and green investment practices, focusing particularly on their implications for economic resilience, brand reputation, and shareholder trust. By extracting insights from studies on green investment types and their economic and environmental impacts, this research builds a nuanced understanding of how these strategies align with broader sustainable development goals, especially concerning carbon emissions reduction and resource conservation.

In this analysis, a thematic approach is applied, segmenting the literature into categories that represent the evolution of CSR from a profit-centered activity to a responsibility-driven commitment embedded within corporate strategy. Here, green investments emerge as a subset of socially responsible investing, marked by substantial potential for influencing firm performance. Through thematic exploration, the paper proposes a model illustrating how CSR operates as a moderating force within green investments, shaping organizational outcomes that align with both investor expectations and environmental policies. This interaction is further shaped by government regulations, which can provide a supportive ecosystem for green investment and CSR initiatives, thus amplifying their impact on firm performance.

However, the conceptual nature of this study entails some limitations, particularly as it relies solely on secondary data without empirical testing. The synthesized insights, while theoretically robust, would benefit from future empirical validation across diverse industries to strengthen the theoretical claims presented here. Nonetheless, the insights provided offer a foundational framework that underscores the essential roles of green investments and CSR in advancing both corporate profitability and environmental sustainability, positioning these elements as central to achieving long-term, sustainable growth in contemporary business contexts.

4.0 Findings

From the extensive analysis conducted, several key findings are anticipated to emerge. These findings are expected to underscore the role of green investments in fostering sustainable growth across environmental, economic, and social dimensions. The analysis seeks to provide a comprehensive understanding of how green investment initiatives, combined with CSR efforts, can drive substantial positive outcomes. The anticipated key findings include:

- Corporations must balance profit generation with societal and environmental responsibilities, emphasizing the critical role of CSR in corporate strategies.
- Green investments are crucial for addressing climate change and promoting sustainable development, leading to economic resilience.
- Effective CSR practices are linked to improved economic performance, with socially responsible firms often experiencing better stock prices and financial success.
- Investors increasingly favor companies that actively communicate their CSR efforts, indicating a growing trend towards socially responsible investing.
- Combining CSR with green investments is vital for long-term sustainability and profitability. It enables organizations to tackle environmental challenges while enhancing their reputation.
- Supportive government regulations and policies are essential to create an environment that encourages green investments and CSR initiatives.

5.0 Limitations and Future Implications

This conceptual study is limited by its reliance on theoretical insights without empirical validation, offering foundational rather than data-backed conclusions. Variations in CSR and green investment practices across industries and regions and shifting regulatory landscapes may also affect the applicability of its findings. Future research should focus on empirical studies across sectors to measure CSR and green investments' impacts on performance, with longitudinal studies exploring long-term outcomes and cross-sectional studies addressing industry-specific challenges. Investigating regulatory influences on these strategies will further support their role in sustainable business growth.

6.0 Conclusion

Green investments and corporate social responsibility (CSR) emerge as integral components of sustainable business strategies, addressing environmental, social, and governance (ESG) criteria that are increasingly prioritized by investors, consumers, and policymakers. Through a synthesis of existing literature, this paper highlights that businesses embracing these practices not only enhance their market reputation but also demonstrate resilience and adaptability in the face of environmental challenges. The findings suggest that CSR initiatives when combined with green investments, can create a dual impact: they improve firm performance while simultaneously contributing to broader societal goals of sustainability.

While the study underscores the potential of these practices, it also calls for a more empirical, sector-specific exploration to substantiate and further delineate the economic and environmental impacts of CSR-driven green investments. Ultimately, as businesses increasingly align their strategies with sustainability objectives, they not only meet regulatory standards but also build lasting trust with stakeholders, positioning themselves as leaders in sustainable growth.

References

1. Allen, C., & Clouth, S. (2012). A guidebook to the Green Economy. *UN Division for Sustainable Development*.
2. Asogwa, C. I., Ugwu, O. C., Okereke, G. K. O., Samuel, A., Igbinedion, A., Uzuagu, A. U., & Abolarinwa, S. I. (2020). Corporate social responsibility intensity: Shareholders' value adding or destroying?. *Cogent Business & Management*, *7*(1), 1826089.
3. Atif, M., Alam, M. S., & Hossain, M. (2020). Firm sustainable investment: Are female directors greener?. *Business Strategy and the Environment*, *29*(8), 3449-3469.
4. Brammer, S., Brooks, C., & Pavelin, S. (2006). Corporate social performance and stock returns:

UK evidence from disaggregate measures. *Financial management*, *35*(3), 97-116.
5. Chițimiea, A., Minciu, M., Manta, A. M., Ciocoiu, C. N., & Veith, C. (2021). The drivers of green investment: A bibliometric and systematic review. *Sustainability*, *13*(6), 3507.
6. Clarkson, P. M., Li, Y., Richardson, G. D., & Vasvari, F. P. (2011). Does it really pay to be green? Determinants and consequences of proactive environmental strategies. *Journal of accounting and public policy*, *30*(2), 122-144.
7. Costa, J. (2021). Carrots or sticks: Which policies matter the most in sustainable resource management?. *Resources*, *10*(2), 12.
8. Cupertino, S., Consolandi, C., & Vercelli, A. (2019). Corporate social performance, financialization, and real investment in US manufacturing firms. *Sustainability*, *11*(7), 1836.
9. Du, H. S., Zhan, B., Xu, J., & Yang, X. (2019). The influencing mechanism of multi-factors on green investments: A hybrid analysis. *Journal of cleaner production*, *239*, 117977.
10. Escrig-Olmedo, E., Rivera-Lirio, J. M., Muñoz-Torres, M. J., & Fernández-Izquierdo, M. Á. (2017). Integrating multiple ESG investors' preferences into sustainable investment: A fuzzy multicriteria methodological approach. *Journal of cleaner production*, *162*, 1334-1345.
11. Eyasu, A. M., & Arefayne, D. (2020). The effect of corporate social responsibility on banks' competitive advantage: Evidence from Ethiopian lion international bank SC. *Cogent Business & Management*, *7*(1), 1830473.
12. Eyraud, L., Clements, B., & Wane, A. (2013). Green investment: Trends and determinants. *Energy policy*, *60*, 852-865.
13. Falcone, P. M. (2018). Green investment strategies and bank-firm relationship: A firm-level analysis. *Econ. Bull*, *38*(4), 2225.
14. Ganda, F., & Milondzo, K. S. (2018). The impact of carbon emissions on corporate financial performance: Evidence from the South African firms. *Sustainability*, *10*(7), 2398.
15. Ganda, F., Ngwakwe, C. C., & Ambe, C. M. (2015). Profitability as a Factor That Spurs Corporate Green Investment Practices in Johannesburg Stock Exchange (JSE) Listed Firms. *Managing Global Transitions: International Research Journal*, *13*(3).
16. Ghosh, A., Sarmah, S. P., & Kanauzia, R. K. (2020). The effect of investment in green technology in a two echelon supply chain under strict carbon-cap policy. *Benchmarking: An International Journal*, *27*(6), 1875-1891.
17. Hamilton, S., Jo, H., & Statman, M. (1993). Doing well while doing good? The investment performance of socially responsible mutual funds. *Financial analysts journal*, *49*(6), 62-66.
18. Han, S. R., Li, P., Xiang, J. J., Luo, X. H., & Chen, C. Y. (2020). Does the institutional environment influence corporate social responsibility? Consideration of green investment of enterprises—evidence from China. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, 1-18.
19. Han, Y. (2020). Impact of environmental regulation policy on environmental regulation level: a quasi-natural experiment based on carbon emission trading pilot. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, *27*(19), 23602-23615.
20. Ilg, P. (2019). How to foster green product innovation in an inert sector. *Journal of Innovation & Knowledge*, *4*(2), 129-138.
21. Inderst, G., Kaminker, C., & Stewart, F. (2012). Defining and measuring green investments.
22. Jain, R., & Winner, L. H. (2016). CSR and sustainability reporting practices of top companies in India. *Corporate Communications: An International Journal*, *21*(1), 36-55.
23. Khojastehpour, M., & Johns, R. (2014). The effect of environmental CSR issues on corporate/brand reputation and corporate profitability. *European Business Review*, *26*(4), 330-339.
24. Kumarasiri, J., & Jubb, C. (2016). Carbon emission risks and management accounting: Australian evidence. *Accounting Research Journal*, *29*(2), 137-153.

25. Lanis, R., & Richardson, G. (2012). Corporate social responsibility and tax aggressiveness: An empirical analysis. *Journal of Accounting and Public policy*, *31*(1), 86-108.
26. Lanoie, P., Ambec, S., & Scott, I. (2007). *When and why Does it pay to be green?*. CIRANO.
27. Mangion, M., Borg, J. A., & Sanchez-Jerez, P. (2018). Differences in magnitude and spatial extent of impact of tuna farming on benthic macroinvertebrate assemblages. *Regional Studies in Marine Science*, *18*, 197-207.
28. Martin, P. R., & Moser, D. V. (2012). Managers' green investment and related disclosure decisions. SSRN Electronic Journal.
29. Mikołajek-Gocejna, M. (2016). The relationship between corporate social responsibility and corporate financial performance–Evidence from empirical studies. *Comparative Economic Research. Central and Eastern Europe*, *19*(4), 67-84.
30. Mohd Irfan Pathan, Dr. Salil Seth, Lokesh Tomar, Parveen Yadav, & Mrinal Kanti Mahato. (2024). Climate Finance: Pioneering Pathways to Sustainability. *Economic Sciences*, *20*(2), 215-226. https://doi.org/10.69889/zghajm28.
31. Mokhov, V. G. E., Chebotareva, G. S., & Khomenko, P. M. (2018). Modelling of" green" investments risks. *Вестник Южно-Уральского государственного университета. Серия: Математическое моделирование и программирование*, *11*(2), 154-159.
32. Nelling, E., & Webb, E. (2009). Corporate social responsibility and financial performance: The "virtuous circle" revisited. *Review of Quantitative finance and accounting*, *32*, 197-209.
33. Nguyen, X. H., & Trinh, H. T. (2020). Corporate social responsibility and the non-linear effect on audit opinion for energy firms in Vietnam. *Cogent Business & Management*, *7*(1), 1757841.
34. Ok, Y., & Kim, J. (2019). Which corporate social responsibility performance affects the cost of equity? Evidence from Korea. *Sustainability*, *11*(10), 2947.
35. Pekovic, S., Grolleau, G., & Mzoughi, N. (2018). Environmental investments: too much of a good thing?. *International Journal of Production Economics*, *197*, 297-302.
36. Pimonenko, T., Bilan, Y., Horák, J., Starchenko, L., & Gajda, W. (2020). Green brand of companies and greenwashing under sustainable development goals. *Sustainability*, *12*(4), 1679.
37. Seth, S., Mahato, M., Pathan, M., Tomar, L., & Yadav, P. (2024). Eco-Centric Financing for Mine Cities. *Journal of Mining and Environment*, (), -. doi: 10.22044/jme.2024.14959.2851.
38. Shi, B., Yang, H., Wang, J., & Zhao, J. (2016). City green economy evaluation: Empirical evidence from 15 sub-provincial cities in China. *Sustainability*, *8*(6), 551.
39. Taghizadeh-Hesary, F., & Yoshino, N. (2020). Sustainable solutions for green financing and investment in renewable energy projects. *Energies*, *13*(4), 788.
40. Uadiale, O. M., & Fagbemi, T. O. (2012). Corporate social responsibility and financial performance in developing economies: The Nigerian experience.
41. Utz, S., Wimmer, M., & Steuer, R. E. (2015). Tri-criterion modeling for constructing more-sustainable mutual funds. *European Journal of Operational Research*, *246*(1), 331-338.
42. Viviani, J. L., Fall, M., & Revelli, C. (2019). The effects of socially responsible dimensions on risk dynamics and risk predictability: A value-at-risk perspective. *Management international*, *23*(3), 141-157.
43. Wahba, H., & Elsayed, K. (2015). The mediating effect of financial performance on the relationship between social responsibility and ownership structure. *Future Business Journal*, *1*(1-2), 1-12.
44. Wang, K., Zhang, H. M., Tsai, S. B., Wu, L. D., Xue, K. K., Fan, H. J., ... & Chen, Q. (2018). Does a board chairman's political connection affect green investment?—from a sustainable perspective. *Sustainability*, *10*(3), 582.
45. Wang, Y. Z., Lo, F. Y., & Weng, S. M. (2019). Family businesses successors knowledge and

willingness on sustainable innovation: The moderating role of leader's approval. *Journal of Innovation & Knowledge*, *4*(3), 188-195.
46. Xing, G., Xia, B., & Guo, J. (2019). Sustainable cooperation in the green supply chain under financial constraints. *Sustainability*, *11*(21), 5977.
47. Yen, Y. X. (2018). Buyer–supplier collaboration in green practices: The driving effects from stakeholders. *Business Strategy and the Environment*, *27*(8), 1666-1678.
48. Zhang, X., & Yousaf, H. A. U. (2020). Green supply chain coordination considering government intervention, green investment, and customer green preferences in the petroleum industry. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, *246*, 118984.